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ABSTRACT

A contiguous sequence of nearly 3-Mb from the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* has been sequenced from a series of overlapping P1 and BAC clones. This region covers 69 chromosome polytene bands on chromosome arm 2L, including the genetically well characterized "Adh region". A computational analysis of the sequence predicts 218 protein coding genes, 11 tRNAs and 17 transposable element sequences. At least 38 of the protein coding genes are arranged in clusters of from two to six closely related genes, suggesting extensive tandem duplication. The gene density is one protein coding gene every 13-Kb; the transposable element density is one element every 171-Kb. Of 73 genes in this region identified by genetic analysis, 49 have been located on the sequence; P-element insertions have been mapped to 43 genes. Ninety-five (44%) of the known and predicted genes match a *Drosophila* EST, and 144 (66%) have clear similarities to proteins in other organisms. Genes known to have mutant phenotypes are more likely to be represented in cDNA libraries, and far more likely to be have products similar to proteins of other organisms, than are genes with no known mutant phenotype. Over 650 chromosome aberration breakpoints map to this chromosome region, their non-random distribution on the genetic map reflects variation in gene spacing on the DNA.

This is the first large-scale analysis of the genome of *D. melanogaster* at the sequence level. In addition to the direct results obtained, this analysis has allowed us to develop and test methods that will be needed to interpret the complete sequence of the genome of this species.
“Before beginning a Hunt, it is wise to ask someone what you are looking for before you begin looking for it.” (MILNE 1926).

It is nearly 100 years since W.E. CASTLE and his colleagues, at Harvard University, introduced *Drosophila melanogaster* to the joys and rigors of scientific research (KOHLER 1994). From that slender beginning research with this small fly has dominated genetics and much of biology. It is, therefore, wholly appropriate that *Drosophila melanogaster* should join the new elite of organisms - as one whose genome will be sequenced in its entirety (MIKLOS and RUBIN 1996; RUBIN 1998). That goal is still some time away, but significant progress has already been made, with the determination of the complete sequences of the 338-Kb *bithorax* and 430-Kb *Antennapedia* regions (MARTIN et al. 1995; LEWIS et al. 1995; CELNIKER et al. 1999) and with the availability of over 40-Mb of genomic sequence available in the public domain (BERKELEY *Drosophila* GENOME PROJECT 1999; EUROPEAN *Drosophila* GENOME PROJECT 1999). There are many reasons, both pragmatic and theoretical, for wanting to complete the sequence of a model organism such as *Drosophila*. Practically, the availability of this sequence will be of immediate benefit to all studying particular genes. More theoretically, only by the completion of this sequence can we contemplate a description of the protein universe of *Drosophila*, can we answer with assurance the question of gene number in *Drosophila*, can we know the nature, number and distribution of non-coding regions of DNA (including transposable elements), can we explore the *Drosophila* genome for regularities in sequence organization that may correlate with chromosome organization. Moreover, the availability of the complete sequence of *Drosophila* will itself be a major impetus to evolutionary studies, and to comparative insect genomics. Finally, but by no means least, the sequence itself will spur functional studies, themselves of great interest to all biologists, especially those struggling to interpret the function of genes of the larger genomes of mammals.

The analysis and interpretation of long genomic sequences pose several unsolved problems, among these are gene prediction and correlating genetically identified loci with computationally predicted genes. We have selected the 2.9-Mb *Adh* region, a region of the genome of *D. melanogaster* that was already well characterized by conventional genetic analyses, as a test-bed to develop and evaluate approaches to large-scale genomic sequence annotation in *Drosophila*. This chromosome region is defined as the 69 polytene chromosome bands from 34C4 to 36A2 on chromosome arm 2L, that is to say the region between (and including) the previously known genes *kuzbanian* (*kuz*) and *dachshund* (*dac*). Genetic analysis of this chromosome region began with the studies of E.H. GRELL in the early 1960’s with the recovery of an *Adh* deletion, *Df(2L)64j* (GRELL et al. 1968). W. SOFER and students, especially J.M. O’DONNELL (O’DONNELL et al. 1977), recovered several more deletions, using formaldehyde as a mutagen, and defined 12 loci by complementation analysis among 33 EMS induced lethal mutations uncovered by these deletions. These studies have been continued, in the last twenty years, by M. ASHBURNER’s group (e.g. WOODRUFF and ASHBURNER 1979a, 1979b).

Genetic analysis has defined 73 genes in this chromosome region. Of these, 65 are represented by mutant alleles and a further eight are predicted on the basis of the phenotypes of overlapping deletions. Of those with mutant alleles, 49 genes
have at least one lethal allele, that is to say they are genes whose activities are vital, six are known only from sterile alleles (two male-sterile and four female-sterile), eight only from alleles with clear visible phenotypes and two genes have alleles with no gross phenotype: Adh and smi35A. Forty-nine protein coding genes (and five tRNA genes) in this region had been molecularly characterized prior to or during our work; these included seven that had not been identified by genetic analysis. In addition to a collection of over 1038 different mutant alleles of genes in this region, the genetic analysis was enormously aided by a very large collection of chromosome aberrations, including 86 inversions, 109 translocations, 317 deletions and 40 duplications. Apart from some conventional recombination mapping in the early stages of the project, all genes have been ordered by deletion mapping. The genetic positions of the breakpoints of many inversions and translocations have been mapped with respect to the genes, often by combining these breakpoints with others to synthesise deletions or duplications.

These genetic data posed two major questions. The first was that of 'saturation' - what proportion of the genes had been identified by the genetic analysis? It is well known (e.g. BARRETT 1980) that the distribution of mutant hits to genes defies any rigorous statistical estimation of the size of the class of genes that are mutationally silent (see LEFEVRE and WATKINS 1986). This is particularly true in the present case, since many independent mutagenesis screens using a variety of deletions have been done, as have several specific locus screens. These mutation screens have been done with a variety of chemical agents, with ionizing radiation and with P-elements, and although the most mutable genes in general screens have 50 or more alleles (e.g. wb and esg), we already know, or predict, some genes that have been refractory, including those eight genes predicted from overlapping deletion phenotypes. Moreover, we had no experimental estimate of the number of genes that give no phenotype when mutant (see below). The second question is that raised by the very non-random clustering of aberration breakpoints. There are two extreme interpretations of this clustering - that the different regions differ in target size or that there is some intrinsic property that biases the recovery of chromosomal breaks. Both this question, and that of 'saturation', have been answered from the analysis of the sequence of this region.

There is direct experimental evidence, or prediction, for 229 genes in the 2.9-Mb of sequenced DNA. Of these, there is evidence for function or for some hint as to function, from sequence matches, for 91 genes. One of the challenges for the future is to discover, by experiment, the function of all of the genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS.

Genetics: All of the mutations and chromosome aberrations used in this study are fully described in FlyBase (FLYBASE CONSORTIUM 1999). Table 1 presents a summary of the mutations that have been identified. The majority of these have been published in previous papers from M. ASHBURNER's laboratory, others have been given to us by colleagues; those that are new are described in FlyBase. Where possible we have mapped aberration breakpoints genetically by combining the elements of translocations (by segregation) or inversion breakpoints (by recombination, using autosynaptic intermediates in the case of pericentric
inversions, see GUBB 1998) so as to synthesise deletions whose limits could be mapped by complementation. All genetic crosses were, unless otherwise stated, done between balancer heterozygotes and care was always taken to allow any very delayed progeny to eclose. A failure of complementation is based upon the absence of non-balancer progeny, usually in progenies of 200 flies or more. Crosses were routinely done on standard laboratory food at 25°C.

P-elements from several laboratories, from screens for lethal P-elements on chromosome 2 (see SPRADLING et al. 1995), were screened against three deletions that, in sum, cover the entire genetic interval of interest (Df(2L)b84a7, Df(2L)A48 and Df(2L)r10), and then mapped more precisely using appropriate deletions and mutant alleles. We are very grateful to I. KISS for the preliminary screen with his P-element collection. Further P-elements were initially identified only on the basis of the chromosomal mapping of their insertion site by \textit{in situ} hybridization to polytene chromosomes, using a P-element probe and standard techniques. These were then subject to genetic analysis, typically tests for complementation with appropriate deletions and mutant alleles representative of candidate loci. The EP lines used in this study were from the collection described by RØRTH et al. (1998).

P-element excisions and male recombinants were generated using \textit{P}\{\text{\text{\text{\(\Delta 2-3\)}}}99B as the source of an active P-transposase. These derivatives were then characterized by conventional genetic complementation analyses.

\textbf{Cytology:} For conventional polytene chromosome analysis we used propionic-carmine-orcein squash preparations. \textit{In situ} hybridization was performed by standard procedures using biotinylated probes and horseradish peroxidase staining. Polytene chromosomes were interpreted using the revised maps of C.B. and P.N. BRIDGES (see LEFEVRE 1976).

\textbf{Clones:} The P1 clone library, with an average insert size of 80-Kb, was that prepared from an isogenic \textit{y; cn bw sp} stock in the vectors pNS583tet14Ad10 and pAd10sacBII (STERNBerg 1990) and described by SMOLLER et al. (1991). The strategy for building contigs of overlapping clones has been described by KIMMERLY et al. (1996). The first stage was to build a ‘framework’ map of the genome of \textit{D. melanogaster} by mapping over 2,600 of the P1 clones to the polytene chromosomes by \textit{in situ} hybridization (HARTL et al. 1994). Then, short sequence tagged sites (STS) were used to determine overlaps between P1 clones by STS-content mapping, using a PCR-based approach (OLSON et al. 1989; GREEN and OLSON 1990). STS sequences were derived from a number of sources: end sequences of P1 clones, insertion sites of P-elements determined after plasmid rescue or inverse PCR, and sequences of known \textit{Drosophila} genes. BAC clones were from a newly constructed library in pBACe3.6 (OSOEGAWA et al. 1998) (K. OSOEGAWA, A. MAMMOSER and P. DE JONG, unpublished). This is a 20-hit library from a partial \textit{Eco}RI digestion of DNA from the \textit{y; cn bw sp} isogenic stock.

The P1 clones were first assembled into eight contigs by screening a 5-hit P1 clone library. By generating STS sequences determined from the ends of these contigs, and then mapping these to a second larger P1 clone library (10-hit), and by directed PCR experiments, these seven contigs assembled into two, of 0.8-Mb and
1.9-Mb, plus an isolated P1 clone containing the kuzbanian gene. The gap between the two long contigs, and that between isolated P1 clone and the 1.9-Mb contig, were closed by screening the BAC clone library with sequences prepared from the appropriate end clones.

**DNA sequencing:** The sequence of the Adh region has been assembled by first determining the sequences of the 51 individual P1 clones that comprise the 0.8-Mb and 1.9-Mb contigs. The gap between the two contigs was filled by sequencing the BAC clone BACR44L22. The gap between the P1 clones DS07660 and DS01368 was filled by sequencing BACR48E02. Table 2 lists the clones sequenced and their DDBJ/EMBL/GENBANK accession numbers.

The sequencing strategies have evolved over time. Essentially, circa 3-Kb subclone libraries of randomly sheared DNA were prepared from each P1 clone in plasmid vectors. The sequences of both ends of each plasmid insert were determined using primers complementary to the vector and these sequences were used to assemble a set of overlapping 3-Kb clones that span an entire P1 clone. The 3-Kb clones were then sequenced using a combination of transposon-mediated sequencing (Kimmel et al. 1997) and custom oligonucleotide-primed sequence runs. All sequences were determined on both DNA strands and assembled using the PHRAP program (P. Green unpublished). The error rate was estimated using PHRAP quality scores as less than one in 10,000. We wrote our own genomic assembler in order to generate a single complete sequence of the entire region from the individual clone sequences. The core alignment software used in this assembler was the sim4 program of Florea et al. (1998). The assembler iteratively runs sim4 against pairs of sequences that are known to overlap from the physical mapping data. The assembler then uses the exact alignment that covers the two ends of the clones to incrementally construct the complete sequence, performing reverse complementation when needed.

**cDNA identification and sequencing:** cDNA clones derived from genes in the 34D-36A region were identified by searching for sequence matches between the genomic DNA sequence and 5' expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from the BDGP/HHMI Drosophila EST project (http://www.fruitfly.org/EST/). In addition, cDNAs corresponding to crp, heix, l(2)35F, anon-35Fa, anon-35F/36A, BG:DS02740.2, BG:DS02740.4, BG:DS02740.8, BG:DS02740.9 and BG:DS02740.10 were isolated by screening the LD cDNA library using the method of Munroe et al. (1995). The LD cDNA library was made from poly-A+ selected RNA from 0-22 h embryos, size fractionated (approximately 1 to 6-Kb) and directionally cloned in either the Stratagene Uni-Zap XR vector or the pOT2 plasmid (both EcoRI/XhoI digested) (L. Hong, unpublished). For each gene, the longest available cDNA was sequenced from one strand to allow unambiguous alignment with the genomic sequence. The cDNA sequences were aligned with the genomic sequence using the sim4 program of Florea et al. (1998). Because these cDNA sequences were low-pass, single-stranded sequence it was not always possible to construct a single open reading frame from sim4 alignments. In those cases, adjustments were made by an annotator. The virtual cDNA sequences were verified using the ORFfinder program (v. 0.1, E. Frise unpublished) and their structures relative to the genomic sequence manually checked in CloneCurator (see below).
**Molecular mapping of P-element insertion sites:** The precise insertion sites of all P-elements described here were determined by comparison of the reference genomic sequence with a sequence that spanned the junction between a P-element and the genome using sim4. These junction sequences were determined from either plasmid rescued clones or inverse PCR products, as described in SPRADLING *et al.* (1999). The insertion site is reported as the first base pair of the 8-bp target site duplication generated by the P-element insertion.

**Sequence analysis:** Two broad categories of computational method were used together to predict and identify genes. The first were gene prediction algorithms, based on the statistical properties of protein coding regions. The second category of method used alignment algorithms for predictions based upon similarities of the sequence with other sequences in the public domain, both nucleic acid and protein.

The main gene prediction program used in the early stages of this analysis was GENEFINDER (v. 0.83) (GREEN 1995), trained on a *Drosophila* sequence data set (G. HELT unpublished). GENEFINDER predicts genes on the basis of the statistical properties of their sequence, codon usage, codon preference and splice site profiles. More recently, we made a comparison of the performance of a number of different programs using the sequence of the P1 clone DS02740. This showed that GENSCAN (v. 1.0) (BURGÉ 1997; BURGE and KARLIN 1997), trained on a vertebrate sequence data set, gave more reliable predictions than GENEFINDER, GENIE (REESE *et al.* 1997) or a version of GRAIL trained on a *Drosophila* sequence training set (XU *et al.* 1995). This comparison showed a tendency for GENESCAN to over-predict genes. This characteristic was complemented by GENEFINDER, which tends to under-predict genes. For this reason, both programs were used for the final data analyses, using their default parameters. No current gene prediction program behaves well with introns that are either very large or very small, and these errors were corrected, whenever possible, by using available alignment data. tRNA genes were predicted using the tRNAscan-SE program (v. 1.02) of LOWE and EDDY (1997).

To estimate the statistical properties of *D. melanogaster* protein coding regions a non-redundant data set of coding regions (CDS) was made. By non-redundant we mean that for any one gene only one CDS is included, even if the gene encodes multiple protein products (that included was usually the longest complete sequence available from the EMBL Nucleic Acid Sequence Data Library). All of the CDS regions were checked for legitimate start and stop codons and for a continuous open-reading frame in between these. Four genes with non-ATG starts were included in this data set (CTG: amn, ewg, GTG: Cha, CTC: cpo) following advice from D. CAVENER, as were two CDSs (oaf and kelch) with in-frame UGA codons, perhaps coding for seleno-cysteine. This data set, of 1335 CDSs, was used for the construction of normalised codon and di-codon (hexamer) tables (HELT 1997) and is available as cds_sequence_set.embl.v1.5 from ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/edgp/sequence_sets/ and as na_embl.dros.v1.5 from http://www.fruitfly.org/sequence/download.html.

Databases against which similarity searches were made included GENBANK, dbEST, SWISS-PRÔT, SPTREMBL and sequences from the European *Drosophila*
Genome Project. Updates of these were collected weekly, the sequence data sorted into species-specific files and all submissions from the Berkeley *Drosophila* Genome Project removed to provide data sets for searches. These data sets were then processed to append all database cross-references to FASTA header lines. For sequence similarity searches the BLASTN, BLASTX and TBLASTX programs (version 2.0a) of W. GISH (unpublished) were used (with the option B=1000000).

Transposable elements were screened using a non-redundant data set of transposable element sequences from which all 'flanking' DNA sequences had been trimmed. This data set was originally derived from the EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Data Library records, but as our analysis progressed more complete sequences of elements only known before from partial sequence were added, replacing incomplete sequences. This data set is available from ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/edgp/sequence_sets/transposon_sequence_set.embl and from http://www.fruitfly.org/sequence/download.html (as na_te.dros).

A collection of repetitive sequences from *D. melanogaster*, not otherwise included in the transposable element sequence set, was also made. This data set includes, for example, satellite DNA sequences and a miscellany of sequences annotated as being repetitive by FlyBase. It is not as non-redundant as the other two data sets, and was only used for screening for sequences similar to those previously described as repetitive. The data set is available from ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/edgp/sequence_sets/repeat_sequence_set.embl and http://www.fruitfly.org/sequence/download.html (as na_re.dros).

The data output from these various computational analyses are voluminous and require intelligent filtering to remove redundant and irrelevant information before being passed to the human annotators. Moreover, the task of annotation is almost impossible without tools for the visualization of these data. An application, BOP (v. 01) (for BLAST Output Parser), was written (S. LEWIS unpublished). BOP summarises all automatically computed analysis data for an individual sequence into one file (that is, all output from the programs mentioned previously: BLAST, GENSCAN, etc.). This file is in XML syntax. BOP also removes as much of the ‘noise’ as possible (for example redundant matches, ‘shadow’ matches on the non-coding strand, and matches to sequences of very biased base composition). These condensed data were then presented to the annotator in a graphical view (CloneCurator v. 0.1, S. LEWIS, N. HARRIS, S. MISRA and G. HELT unpublished).

CloneCurator was used to isolate individual genes from the clone sequences, based on expert evaluation of these analyses. CloneCurator allowed the annotator to compare results from different programs and to view the results using filters to determine a desired level of probability of prediction. The annotator used this visual summary to endorse a set of results as evidence, thereby generating a verified annotation. Annotations can be edited in CloneCurator and the annotators can add textual comments to any particular annotation, assign gene symbols, etc. This program was used to generate nucleic acid and amino-acid FASTA files for each gene annotation. When a gene spanned more than one clone manual intervention by an annotator was necessary to construct virtual mRNA sequences.
Open reading frames of predicted genes were validated using ORFFinder (v. 0.1) (E. FRISÉ unpublished) and all predicted proteins were then tested with BLASTP (v. 2.0a) with the options filter=SEG+XNU (unless the results are stated as being "unfiltered") against SWISS-PROT and SWIPTREMBL proteins sets organised into nine taxonomic groups (Drosophila, C. elegans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, other invertebrates, primates, rodents, other vertebrates, plants and bacteria). Matches with an expectation below $P = 10^{-7}$ were ignored.

Protein domains and motifs were analysed against the PROSITE (release 15.0) (HOFMANN et al. 1999) and PFAM (v. 2.1.1) (SONNHAMER et al. 1997; BATEMAN et al. 1999) databases using the programs PPSEARCH (a Unix implementation of MacPattern at http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/services.html/ (FUCHS 1994)) and HAMMER2.1 (EDDY 1998). PROSITE output was filtered using EMOTIF (NEVILL-MANNING et al. 1998) at the European Bioinformatics Institute. The SAPS program (Version of July 23, 1993) (BRENDEL et al. 1992) was run from the EBI server (http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/SAPS/) to analyse various compositional features of predicted protein sequences. The PSORTII suite of programs (HORTON and NAKAI 1997), trained on the proteins of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, was used to predict the subcellular localization of proteins. Sequence alignments were generated using CLUSTALW (HIGGINS et al. 1996) from the European Bioinformatics Institute server (http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/services.html/).

The output from the various sequence analysis programs is archived on FlyBase as FlyBase-Annotation files linked to the sequenced clones. Version 1 of these files includes the analyses used for the present paper. Subsequent versions will result from re-analysis of the sequence data.

Nomenclature: All genes are named according to the conventions agreed between the Berkeley and European Drosophila Genome Projects and FlyBase (http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/docs/nomenclature). Each gene is given a unique name composed of three parts, a prefix (BG for genes defined by the Berkeley Project, EG for those defined by the European Project), followed by a clone name and an integer. The clone name is that of the clone on which the gene was first defined (regardless of whether or not the gene overlaps more than one clone). The final integer is simply a serial number, and does not imply the order of a gene within a clone. An example is BG:DS09218.6, the sixth gene annotated on P1 clone DS09218. If a gene was already known to FlyBase then a formal name is still assigned, but will be treated by FlyBase as a synonym of the established name.

All genes known to FlyBase are named by those names and symbols declared by FlyBase as valid. In addition, the historical names of the lethals identified by the genetic analysis of the Adh region are given.

Availability of data and materials: The DNA sequence of the Adh region is made available for ftp and searching (using BLAST) at http://www.fruitfly.org/data/genomic_fasta/Adh_and_cactus. All sequence data, from genomic clones, ESTs, cDNAs and P-element flanking regions, are deposited in GENBANK. Accession numbers for the genomic sequences are given in Table 2, for
P-element flanking regions, in Table S1 and for cDNAs and ESTs in Table S2. P1 clones are available from laboratories listed on FlyBase. cDNA clones are available from: Research Genetics Inc., 2130 Memorial Parkway SW, Huntsville, AL 35801, USA or from Genomes Systems Inc., 4633 World Parkway Circle, St. Louis, MO 63134-3156, USA. BAC clones (library RPCI-98) are available from Dr. P. de Jong, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Carlton Street, Buffalo, NY 14263, USA. P-element alleles are available from the Bloomington and Szeged Drosophila Stock Centers or from the Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project. The annotated sequences can be viewed through FlyBase as CloneCurator reports.

Supplementary tables of data, cited in this paper as Tables S1, S2 and S3, are available from http://www.genetics.org/?/table_S1, /table_S2 and /table_S3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physical map and sequence of the Adh region: The physical map of the Adh region was assembled and sequenced from P1 and BAC as described in the MATERIALS and METHODS. The P1 clones formed three contigs, one of 1,940,896-bp, one of 798,089-bp and the third, a single P1 clone. The gap between the 1.9-Mb and 0.79-Mb contigs could not be closed in P1 clones, but was, however, readily closed by screening the BAC library; it was found to be 43,803-bp in length. A BAC clone also linked the isolated P1 clone (DS07660) to the distal end of the 1.9-Mb contig. This gap was 35,162-bp in length. The total length of sequence studied is 2,919,020-bp. A summary of the interpretation of this sequence is given in Figure 1, with an expanded view of three selected regions in Figure 2.

General features of the sequence: The overall base composition of the sequence is 40.82% G+C, to be compared with the figure of 43% for the genome as a whole (LAIRD and McCARTHY 1969). The G+C contents of functionally different regions of the sequence, protein coding regions, introns, and intergenic spacer, are 49.7%, 38.7% and 39.6% respectively (intergenic regions may well be over-estimated in size, since the gene prediction programs will have missed 5' exons distant from the body of a gene, unless full length cDNAs were available). The average number of exons per gene is 4.4, but this figure must be treated with caution, for the reasons just mentioned.

Gene prediction in the Adh region: A primary objective of the sequence analysis was to identify genes, both protein coding and others (e.g. tRNA), in the 2.9-Mb of sequenced DNA. We predict the existence of 229, of whci 218 are predicted to be protein coding and 11 tRNA coding (Figure 1). The basis for the predictions are summarised in Table S2. Forty-one of the protein coding genes are predicted only on the basis of a high score with a gene-finding program; of these 16 have both GENSCAN and GENEFINDER predictions (above the thresholds we used), two have only GENEFINDER predictions and 23 only GENSCAN predictions. All of the other protein coding genes are predicted by either (or both) sequence similarities (a BLAST score of P = <10^-7) (156, 71%) or a match with a Drosophila EST, cDNA or genomic sequence (110, 52% of protein coding genes). (A further 17 genes had matches to Drosophila ESTs, but these matches were clearly due to the ESTs being derived from genes encoding similar sequences, that is to say from paralogous genes).
It is important to get an estimate of the false negative and false positive frequencies of prediction. A GENSCAN threshold of 45 fails to predict 22 protein coding genes predicted by other means (or known prior to this work). Of these 22, ten have EST matches and three were known prior to this analysis (Mst35Ba, Mst35Bb and cni). Lowering the threshold for GENSCAN to 30 would include eight of these 22 false-negatives; but this would also predict a further 25 protein coding genes in this region, none of which would have any other support. The GENEFINDER program, at a threshold of 20, fails to predict 56 of the protein coding genes. Of these false-negatives, 35 have support from experimental data and 21 have support from GENSCAN predictions (Table S2). One feature of GENSCAN that we have noticed is that its scores tend to be low in regions of very high gene density.

ESTs and cDNA sequences of genes in the Adh region: Even the best computational methods are imperfect in their ability to determine the intron-exon structures of genes from genomic sequence alone. Moreover, since such methods rely on information from codon usage and the maintenance of open reading frames, they are inherently unable to predict the presence of introns in 5’ or 3’ untranslated regions, or to predict the transcriptional start sites. For these reasons it is necessary to isolate and sequence cDNAs (or RT-PCR products). We have used sequence matches between the genomic sequence and 5’ ESTs as a rapid way of identifying cDNAs for sequencing (see MATERIALS and METHODS) (Table S2). cDNAs corresponding to 95 genes were identified by matches to ESTs (44% of known or predicted protein coding genes), at a time when the total number of Drosophila ESTs available was 53,000.

Of the 68 protein coding genes for which there was some prior knowledge (i.e. both genetic and molecular data or molecular data alone) 50 (74%) have ESTs; of the 150 genes which are newly discovered only 44 (29%) have ESTs. This is a rather surprising result. It may indicate either a bias in the sample of genes that had already been studied, an over-prediction of new genes or it may be a biologically interesting result (see below).

P-element hits: Several collections of lethal P-elements were screened against deletions that, in sum, covered the entire Adh region (see SPRADLING et al. 1995; 1999). We have also analysed genetically P-elements from these collections that had not been recovered in the screens for lethals or semi-lethals, but which were found to map to the region by in situ hybridization to polytene chromosomes or by a sequence match of the sequences flanking the P-element insertion (SPRADLING et al. 1999). Similarly, sequences flanking 2,300 insertions of the EP-element (RØRTH et al. 1998) were determined (J. REHM and G. RUBIN unpublished data) and used to identify 24 EP insertions in this region. From these screens, and from those identified by others, 181 independent P-element insertions in 43 genes have been identified (Tables 1 and S1). P-element insertions in 35 genes give a lethal, or semi-lethal, sterile or visible phenotype. In the remaining eight genes all known insertions are without obvious phenotypic effect.
Gene density in the Adh region: Of the 229 genes, 218 are protein coding and 11 are tRNAs. The average gene density, for protein coding genes, is one per 13.4-Kb. The average size of the genes, as estimated both from computational analysis and the 'full'-length cDNAs, is 5.5-Kb (from ATG to terminator, including introns). The average gene density of one gene per 13.4-Kb hides enormous variation in density. Some regions are very dense, with genes being separated by only a few hundreds of base-pairs; others are, by comparison, very gene poor (see Figures 1 and 2).

There are few studies of long genomic sequences of Drosophila that we can use for comparison with the Adh region. Preliminary analyses of 2-Mb of genomic sequence from region 1 - 3 of the X chromosome gives a gene density of one gene per 8-Kb (T. BENOS and M. ASHBURNER, unpublished analyses of EUROPEAN DROSOPHILA GENOME PROJECT data). In the 338-Kb bithorax region there are 13 known or predicted genes (one per 24-Kb); but three of these (Ubx, abd-A and Abd-B) are exceptionally large (22 to 78-Kb for their coding regions alone). In the Antp region CELNIKER et al. (1999) have identified 26 protein coding genes in 430-Kb, a density of one gene per 16.5-Kb. MALESZKA et al. (1998) predicted 12 genes within one 67-Kb P1 clone from the base of the X chromosome (one gene per 5.6-Kb).

Transcriptional bias: The number of genes transcribed from each DNA strand is approximately equal (121 vs. 108). In very gene dense regions there is a strong tendency for the direction of transcription to alternate (see Figure 1), overall, however, the pattern of transcriptional direction appears to be random. This was tested by expressing the pattern as a binary string and attempting to compress it using the LEMPEL-ZIV compression algorithm (ZIV and LEMPEL 1977). The string did not compress any better than did 1000 randomly generated strings of the same length.

Estimates of total gene number in Drosophila: Any estimate of total gene number, based on the analysis of the Adh region, depends on this region being 'typical' of the genome as a whole, with respect to the number of genes. This is a difficult question to answer with any rigor. Genetically, there are no indications that the Adh region is atypical. The number of genes discovered by genetic analysis is, given the number of polytene chromosome bands included, very similar to that in other well studied regions. Classical ‘saturation’ studies give a ratio of lethal complementation groups to polytene chromosomes bands of about 0.84 (Table 3); for the Adh region this ratio is 0.81.

Our estimates of the total gene number rely on estimates of the total DNA content of D. melanogaster. This has independently been estimated to be 170-MB by RUDKIN (1972, and cited in KAVENOFF and ZIMM 1973) using UV microspectrophotometry of diploid ganglion cells, by RASCH et al. (1971) by Feulgen microspectrophotometry of sperm and haemocyte cells, and by KAVENOFF and ZIMM (1973) from the kinetics of relaxation of whole chromosome-length DNA molecules. The kinetics of reassociation of denatured DNA gave a slightly lower estimate (LAIRD 1971). Of this 170-Mb of DNA, some 21% is estimated to be low complexity satellite sequence (LOHE and BRUTLAG 1987), and 12% transposable elements and other repeated sequences, such as the
histone and rRNA genes (LAIRD and McCARTHY 1968). This gives an estimate of about 115-Mb of ‘unique’ DNA sequence.

Simple arithmetic, 115-Mb/13.4-Kb, gives an estimate of 8,600 protein coding genes for the *Drosophila* genome as a whole. This is a remarkably low number, being less than half as much again as the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (6,000, MEWES *et al.* 1997) and less than half the number now estimated for *C. elegans* (19,090, THE *C. elegans* SEQUENCING CONSORTIUM 1998). An independent estimate can be made, knowing that the sequenced region covers 69 polytene chromosome bands, an average of 42-Kb/band plus its adjacent interband (rather higher than SORSA’s estimate of 21.6-Kb/band (SORSA 1988)). The total band number is estimated to be 5,160 (V. SORSA, quoted in ASHBURNER 1989). In terms of band number, therefore, the *Adh* region is 1.34% of the total. If the density of genes per band in this region is typical of the genome as a whole then this leads to an estimate of 16,975 genes. Our two estimates of the total gene number in *D. melanogaster*, 8,600 and 16,975, bracket the estimate of 12,000 by MIKLOS and RUBIN (1996), based on the sizes of 276 individual genes.

**Local duplications of genes:** A number of genes in *Drosophila* have been found to exist as locally duplicated gene pairs. Members of a pair may be functionally distinct (e.g. en, inv) or functionally redundant (e.g. gsb-d, gsb-p; ph-d, ph-p). The most obvious model for the origin of gene pairs is unequal recombination (STURTEVANT 1925; INGRAM, 1961; BAGLIONI 1962; SMITHIES *et al.* 1962) followed by sequence divergence.

In this chromosome region we have identified at least 12 (protein coding) gene repeats. One had already been identified, first in *Drosophila pseudoobscura* (SCHAEFFER and AQUADRO 1987), that is *Adh* and *Adhr*, genes just 300-bp apart that have protein products only 33% identical in sequence, yet with a conserved position of introns. Remarkably, *Adhr* is only transcribed as a dicistronic transcript with *Adh* (BROGNA and ASHBURNER 1997). The second gene repeat is a triplication of three zinc-finger domain transcription factors, *escargot*, *worniu* and *snail*, within 150-Kb. The proteins encoded by these genes show 31-37% pairwise identity. Interestingly, although each of these is required for viability, there is some residual functional redundancy between, at least, *esg* and *sna* (see APPENDIX). The third example is BG:DS01514.1 and BG:DS05899.1, two genes 7.5-Kb apart that encode protein products 43% identical in sequence; these proteins show similarity to mouse long chain fatty acid Coenzyme-A ligase. *Mst35Ba* and *Mst35Bb* are a tandem pair of genes encoding protamine-like proteins characterized by RUSSELL and KAISER (1993). These proteins are 91% identical over their common region (that of *Mst35Bb* is longer by 25 amino-acids than that of *Mst35Ba*). At the nucleic acid level the duplication extends over about 1-Kb.

Five genes, closely clustered in the region between *RpII33* and *Ance*, show between 30 and 37% amino-acid sequence similarities. These are BG:DS00941.11 - BG:DS00941.15, genes whose proteins are about the same size but all lack any sequence matches. BG:DS00180.7 - BG:DS00180.10, BG:DS00180.12 and BG:DS00180.14 are six genes all with EFG domains clustered within a few tens of
kilobases just distal to rk. Their sequence similarities are not high, but are evidence of ancient duplications.

In the region between the lace and CycE genes there are six predicted genes within 21-Kb, each encoding a protein of the astacin subfamily of Zn-metalloproteases (BARRETT et al. 1998) (BG:BACR44L22.1 - BG:BACR44L22.4, BG:BACR44L22.6 and BG:BACR44L22.8). The predicted protein sequences of these genes are between 29% and 64% identical. There are two clusters of genes encoding proteins predicted to be serine proteases. One is of two genes within 14.8-Kb and showing 45% pairwise similarity (BG:DS06874.4 and BG:DS06874.6); the other is a pair of genes within 10.2-Kb showing 35% sequence similarity (BG:DS07108.1 and BG:DS07108.5). Right at the proximal margin of the region sequenced are three genes encoding proteins identified by KAWAMURA et al. (1999) as imaginal disc growth factors (see below). These genes show 51-55% pairwise similarity in sequence and are within 7.7-Kb (ldgf1, ldgf2 and ldgf3). Interestingly, there is evidence for a tandem triplication of chitinase genes, which these resemble, in mosquitoes (DE LA VEGA et al. 1998). A further triplication is exemplified by beat and two similar genes, beat-B and beat-C, first discovered in this sequence by T. PIPES (personal communication). These three genes are not contiguous, but are clustered within 200-Kb. The proteins predicted for beat-B and beat-C are 51% and 46% identical, respectively, to that of beat. The three genes have a similar structure. The final example of duplicate genes is that of noc and BG:DS06238.3, a gene some 100-Kb distal, which we suggest is elB (see below). These two genes encode Zn-finger proteins with 27% amino-acid identity.

The 38 genes in the 34C-36A region that appear to be members of tandem series represent 17% of the total number of protein coding genes. This is a minimum estimate, since a BLASTP search of all 218 known and predicted protein sequences against themselves identifies other potential duplications, which require further study. Many of these duplications are very old, as judged by the sequence similarities between members of a set. Tandem series of genes are also a feature of C. elegans (THE C.elegans SEQUENCING CONSORTIUM 1998; THE C. elegans GENOME SEQUENCING PROJECT 1999) and Arabidopsis thaliana (BEVAN et al. 1998). The fraction of genes included in tandem sets of two or more (18%) is about the same as that found in the Adh region (S. JONES personal communication). One possible reason why C. elegans appears to have more genes than D. melanogaster would be that these local tandem arrays are, on average, larger in C. elegans. The data available so far do not support this suggestion.

Genes within genes: The first example of a gene known to be entirely included within another gene was that of a pupal cuticle protein gene (Pcp) fully encoded within an intron of ade3 (HENIKOFF et al. 1986). Since then, over 30 examples have been discovered (data from FlyBase) and in the majority of cases (25/32) the included gene is transcribed from the opposite strand of the including gene. In the Adh region we have identified seventeen examples of nested genes, 12/17 following the majority rule of antiparallel transcription.

The inclusion of Adh within osp was first suggested by genetic data since osp aberrations mapped to either side of Adh (CHIA et al. 1985) (see below). This
suggestion, and the inclusion of Adhr in the same intron, was confirmed by molecular analysis (McNABB et al. 1996) and is proven here by the comparison of the sequence of a full-length osp cDNA with the genomic sequence (see below). Two other predicted genes are within osp, BG:DS07721.1 and BG:DS09219.1.

An open reading frame in the 5' intron of vasa (vig, for vasa intronic gene) was first identified by K. Edwards (personal communication) by a comparison of sequences from D. grimshawi with those from this project. There is another CDS within vasa, BG:DS00929.15 in the long third intron, first identified as a ubiquitous transcript from RNA blots with genomic DNA by P. Lasko (personal communication, see STYHLER et al. 1998). The other examples of putative included genes are BG:BACR48E02.1, BG:BACR48E02.2 and BG:BACR48E02.3, all included within the second intron of B4; BG:DS07486.3, BG:DS07486.4 and BG:DS07486.5 included within introns of beat-B, the former in intron 1 and the latter two in intron 2; BG:DS03792.2 is within wb; BG:DS03192.4 is within BG:DS03192.2; BG:DS07295.4 is within BG:DS07295.1; BG:DS07660.1 is within kuz and BG:DS01514.1 is within BG:DS01514.3.

The phenotypes of overlapping and contiguous deletions - the search for more genes: We have evidence that the genetic screens failed to recover mutations at loci expected to have scorable phenotypes - the failure to recover any alleles of beat is an example (see APPENDIX). One new lethal locus (l(2)35Fg) was discovered when the chromosome 2 P-elements were systematically screened. One further genetic technique to discover genes is to systematically screen hetetozygotes between two overlapping deletions. We have made transheterozygotes between all possible pairs of deletion which, by genetic criteria, abut, i.e. the distal end of one and the proximal end of another are located between the same pair of genes identified by mutant alleles. These pairs of deletions may, or may not, physically overlap.

836 pairwise combinations have been made and the genotypes were scored for viability, male and female fertility and for obvious visible phenotypes. Although these phenotypes could be the result of the additive effects of haplo-insufficiency, we have predicted the existence of four lethal loci from these data, two loci required for male fertility and two loci required for female fertility (each ‘locus’ could include more than one gene, of course). A variation on this protocol for the discovery of mutant phenotypes is to test combinations of deletions which are known to overlap by only one gene, with a mutant phenotype, in the presence of a transgene that is known independently to rescue the mutant phenotype. If the transgene rescues the deficiency heterozygote to phenotypic normality then we can conclude that no other genes, capable of giving a mutant phenotype, are located in the deleted interval; if not, then we can conclude the existence of a previously unsuspected locus.

Overlapping Ance- deletions are lethal, which is expected since Ance itself is a vital gene. There is, however, evidence for another lethal near Ance, since the lethality of some, but not all, overlapping deletion pairs can be rescued by a 16.5-Kb transformant that includes both Ance and anon-34Ea (carried on P[RACE]). l(2)34Ec is predicted on the basis of the failure of this transformant to rescue the lethality of, for
example, *Df(2L)SR407/Df(2L)b82a1*. This predicted gene is not in the overlap of, e.g. *Df(2L)SR407/Df(2L)b74c6*.

The existence of *ms(2)35Bi*, between the 5' exons of *osp* and *l(2)35Bb*, is predicted on the basis of viable, but male-sterile, overlapping deletion heterozygotes (see APPENDIX). *l(2)35Cc* is predicted on the basis of the recessive lethality of *Df(2L)rd9* (ASHBURNER *et al.* 1990). *rd9* is lethal with deletions of *rd*; all five other known alleles of *rd* are hemizygous viable. The existence of *l(2)35Cc* is confirmed by the complementation behavior of deletions generated from *gft* by male-recombination. Of nine deletions, one extended distally and was *rd* but lethal with *Df(2L)rd9* and *gft*; the other eight extended proximally from *gft* to include *ms(2)35Ci*.

The region between *esg* and *sna* is, genetically, rather complex. From the phenotypes of overlapping deletions ASHBURNER *et al.* (1990) had identified a region that, when homozygously deleted, can result in either lethality or an absence of the halteres. These phenotypes are separable, for example the *Df(2L)osp38/Df(2L)TE35D-22* heterozygote is viable, and lacks halteres, but *Df(2L)osp18/Df(2L)TE35D-22* is lethal. Both map between *esg* and *worniu*. The lethal is here named *l(2)35Cg*. There is another predicted lethal in this region, simply called *l* by ASHBURNER *et al.* (1990, figure 2). It (*l(2)35Ch*) is predicted from the lethality of, for example, *Df(2L)e120* when heterozygous with *Df(2L)Scorv25*. There is only one gene prediction in the *esg*-*worniu* interval, this is *BG:DS03023.4*.

*fs(2)35Ec* is inferred from the sterility of *Df(2L)RA5* females heterozygous with eighteen different deletions, e.g. *Df(2L)TE35D-3*. The existence of *fs(2)35Ed* is suggested by the sterility of *Df(2L)RM5/Df(2L)TE35D-2* females, and of four similar genotypes; this gene may correspond to *beat-C*. *ms(2)35Eb* is inferred from the male sterility of the heterozygote *Df(2L)RA5/Df(2L)TE35D-14*. The predicted female steriles, *fs(2)35Ec* and *fs(2)35Ed*, are tentative, we are concerned that these phenotypes may simply result from haplo-insufficiency, particularly for *BicC*.

There are several regions which are homozygous viable when deleted. We estimate that the longest of these, the overlap of *Df(2L)A178* and *Df(2L)A446*, is 190-Kb. This overlap deletes or disrupts four known genes (*noc, Adh, Adhr* and *osp*), eight tRNA genes and five predicted protein encoding genes in the *noc-BG:DS07721.3* interval.

**The structure and function of gene products**: We have used three computational techniques to infer structural and functional attributes of the products of the genes predicted for this chromosome region. These are searches for protein motifs or domains using the PFAM and PROSITE databases, BLASTP similarities of the predicted open reading frames with proteins in the SWISSPROT and SPTREMBL databases, and some analysis of protein features using the PSORT and SAPS programs (see MATERIALS and METHODS). In general, we have been rather conservative in making these inferences, as we have for gene prediction in general. These functional inferences are summarised in Table S3, using a classification now being developed by the Gene Ontology Consortium (FlyBase, Mouse Genome Informatics and the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database) (GO 1999). Of the 218 known
or predicted protein coding genes, we know, from previous work by others, or have inferred, the function of less than half (91, 42%). Of these, 41 are obviously enzymes and 18 of these are predicted to be proteases; the rest cover the functional spectrum from structural proteins (e.g. cuticle protein) to growth factors and transporters. From our analysis of protein motifs we predict that 16 of the proteins are DNA- or RNA-binding; the PSORT analysis predicts that 82 are nuclear localized, but this may well be an over estimate. There are some features of the domain analysis which deserve further study, the cluster of six genes (BG:DS00180.10 and neighbors) whose products are predicted to have EFG domains in particular.

**Evolutionary conservation:** 156 (72%) of the known or predicted protein coding genes have clear matches with those in other organisms (summarized in Table S2). Of these, 120 have matches to the sequences of *C. elegans*, 69 to the sequence of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, 35 to sequences of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, 114 to sequences from rodents (nearly all mouse, with a few rat), 125 to human sequences, and 128 to rodent + human sequences. Thirty proteins have matches in yeast, *C. elegans*, *Arabidopsis* and rodents + human, and 55 in yeast, *C. elegans* and rodents + human. With the exception of *S. cerevisiae* and *C. elegans* (whose genomes are entirely sequenced, or almost so) these numbers reflect the available sequence data, although, overall, they are an impressive witness to the conservation of protein sequence across very different taxa. These sequence similarities are, of course, very useful for making functional inferences about new *Drosophila* genes; they must, however, be treated with some caution as the evolution of function and sequence may not be as tightly linked as is sometimes believed. We see evidence for this in the genes of this region, for example the fact that the three genes we first identified, by their sequence characteristics, as chitinases, are in fact secreted imaginal disc growth factors, as has been shown experimentally (KAWAMURA et al. 1999). The inferences we have made are only hypotheses that demand experimental verification or falsification.

In addition to sequence similarities between genes in this chromosome region and sequences from other taxa, 49 of the predicted or known protein coding genes have significant database matches, outside the *Adh* region, to the known protein universe of *Drosophila*. This is from a sample of only 2,000 or so proteins, less than 15% of the expected total. The conclusion, which is no great surprise, is that nearly all proteins of *Drosophila* will be members of protein sequence families. In some cases the similarities in sequence between different proteins is very striking, e.g. the two "stress-activated" MAP kinases, *p38b* and *Mpk2*, are 77% identical in sequence (see APPENDIX). There is no obvious clustering of the genes that are paralogs of genes in the *Adh* region; this would have been evidence of large-scale genomic duplications, such as are found in *S. cerevisiae* (WOLFE and SHIELDS 1997).

**Correspondence between known genes and the sequence:** One of the major objectives of this study was to identify the 73 genes known or predicted from the genetic analyses on the sequence and, if possible, to infer their function. For those that had been sequenced previously their identification was straightforward. Others have been identified by mapping to the sequence the sites of insertion of P-element alleles and by correlating the genetic and sequence maps. Forty-nine of these 73
genes have been identified on the sequence (see Figure 1 and Table S2). For the remaining 24 candidate sequences can be identified, but no firm correlation can be made on the available data. Detailed consideration of these 49 genes, and others of interest identified on the sequence, is given in the APPENDIX.

**Genes with phenotypes are more likely to be conserved:** Genes which can mutate to an observable phenotype are far more conserved than those that cannot. The data are shown in Table 4. We compare the sequence similarities between known and predicted proteins in two groups - the first is of all 218 proteins; the second just that subset of 49 encoded by genes for which we have phenotypically detectable mutant alleles. Even at a BLASTP threshold of $P = 10^{-50}$, 63% of the 49 genes with phenotypes (and known sequences) have sequence similarities in other taxa, compared with only 31% for the total sample of 218 genes. This difference is also observed if one only considers the comparisons to individual species, such as *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae*, whose genomes are completely sequenced; this argues that the observation cannot be due to an ascertainment bias.

We know, or predict from genetic data, 73/218 genes to have mutant phenotypes. If we assume that the 24 genes that we have not yet managed to tie to the sequence are as conserved as the 49 that we have, then we can calculate the expected properties of the total sets of genes with and without mutant phenotypes. For example, we can predict 46/73 will have BLASTP hits to other species at an expectation of $P = 10^{-50}$. Since there are only 67 hits to other species from the total of 218 genes (at this cutoff) we can conclude that 63% of the genes with mutant phenotypes are conserved, but only 14% (21/(218-73)) of the genes without detectable mutant phenotypes. If we raise the BLASTP cutoff to $P = 10^{-100}$ then the numbers are even more striking, 37% and 2%, respectively, for genes of the two classes.

We realise that this analysis has its limitations - the distinction between genes with and without discernible mutant phenotypes is not hard and fast, but we point out that the great majority of mutant phenotypes known in this chromosome region are very obvious, *i.e.* lethality, sterility or marked changes to adult morphology. We can, however, have reasonable confidence that mutations have been detected in nearly all of the genes in this region that can mutate to these phenotypes.

**Conserved genes are more highly expressed:** Genes known previous to this analysis are far more likely to have ESTs than those newly discovered (see above). We were concerned that this could indicate an over-optimism in predicting new genes. Yet the analysis of Table 4 shows that this cannot be so, or at least it cannot be the entire reason. Genes with BLAST similarities with $P$ values $<10^{-7}$ are unlikely to be false predictions. Yet in the total data set of 218 genes we see that the fraction that have ESTs increases the higher we set the expectation - for "all" species hits it is 48% at $P = 10^{-7}$, 53% at $P = 10^{-20}$, 60% at $P = 10^{-50}$ and 80% for $P = 10^{-100}$. Genes with mutant phenotypes have ESTs at an overall higher frequency than do those without phenotypes (Table 4). The observation that "conserved" genes are more highly expressed than are "non-conserved" genes, as judged by the occurrence of ESTs, was first made by GREEN et al. (1993) in their analysis of evolutionarily conserved
regions in proteins. They suggested that highly expressed genes might be under a higher selection pressure. The similar bias in *C. elegans*, where genes with matches to proteins in distant taxa (i.e. non-Nematodes) are three-times more likely to have an EST than genes with no such match, was confirmed by an analysis of the *C. elegans* sequence (THE *C. elegans* SEQUENCING CONSORTIUM 1998).

**tRNA genes:** An initial rush of enthusiasm mapped many tRNA genes by *in situ* hybridization to the polytene chromosomes and many of these were subsequently cloned and sequenced (e.g. KUBLI 1982). 182 tRNA genes have so far been mapped in *Drosophila* (data from FlyBase) yet others remain to be discovered (e.g. tryptophan and cysteine tRNAs). Many tRNA genes occur in clusters, either of isoaccepting or diverse tRNAs. A cluster of five glycine tRNAs was already known in the *Adh* region (MENG *et al.* 1988) (13 others are known). In addition we have identified a single glutamine tRNA (the first to be sequenced in *Drosophila*) (BG:DS01514.1) and a single leucine tRNA (five others are known) (BG:DS03192.1), four proline tRNAs (two others are known), one (BG:DS04641.2) immediately distal to the glycyl-tRNA cluster and three (BG:DS01486.2 - .4) just proximal to this cluster, immediately distal to *osp*. The 100-Kb region between *noc* and *osp* therefore contains nine tRNA genes.

**Transposable elements:** About 12% of the genome of *D. melanogaster* is estimated to be composed of transposable element sequences, ribosomal DNA and core histone genes (LAIRD and McCARTHY 1968; SPRADLING and RUBIN 1981). Seventeen elements have been recognised in the sequence of the *Adh* region; six are LINE-like elements (*G, F, Doc* and *jockey*), eleven are retrotransposons with long terminal repeats (*copia, roo, 297, blood, mdg1-like* and *yoyo*) (see Figures 1 and 2). This is an average spacing of one element per 171-Kb. On the basis of kinetic data the ‘middle-repetitive’ sequences of *D. melanogaster* had been estimated to be about 5.6-Kb in length, and separated by 13-Kb or more of single copy DNA (MANNING *et al.* 1975; CRAIN *et al.* 1976).

A new retrotransposon element has been identified. It has been called *yoyo* in view of its sequence similarity with an element of the medfly *Ceratitis capitata* with this name. The *yoyo* LTR seems to be a hot-spot for P-element insertion; k08808, a lethal allele of *l(2)35Bc*, is inserted in an LTR of *yoyo* and at least four other examples are known of P-elements in *yoyo* LTRs (PZ06264, EP(2)0533, EP(2)0396 and EP(2)0417).

About 1.8% of the sequence of the *Adh* region is within identified transposable elements. This is much less than the 9% of the genome as a whole estimated to be composed of such sequences (SPRADLING and RUBIN 1981). The reason for this difference is probably that the density of transposable elements is higher in the heterochromatic and peri-heterochromatic regions of the chromosomes (see SUN *et al.* 1997). Perhaps only half the retroviral elements are euchromatic. That this is so is indicated by a comparison of the total numbers of elements estimated by DNA reassociation kinetics and those seen in the euchromatic arms by *in situ* hybridization. For the 412 element, for example, the numbers were 40 (POTTER *et al.* 1979) and 26 (STROBEL *et al.* 1979), respectively, in Oregon-R; similar data were found for the 297 and *copia* elements.
There are other sequences which are clearly related to those of transposable elements, but whose identity cannot be confidently stated. For example, on P1 clone DS07108 there are three very A+T rich sequence regions which show similarities to elements such as 297 and mdg1, but appear to be very degenerate. In addition, in an intron of crp there is a 860-bp sequence very similar to the repetitive element described as Su(Ste) (BALAKIREVA et al. 1992).

**Breakpoint distribution:** We have mapped genetically 658 aberration breakpoints to this region of the *Drosophila* genome. Sixty-three breakpoints disrupt genes. Of these breakpoints many had previously been mapped to chromosome walks, usually in lambda phage. Ninety-four of these were mapped to restriction fragments in the 450-Kb ‘Adh’ walk from ASHBURNER’s laboratory (CHIA et al. 1985; McGILL et al. 1988; GUBB et al. 1990; DAVIS et al. 1990; 1997; CHEAH et al. 1994; McNABB et al. 1996), while others had been mapped to the vasa (LASKO and ASHBURNER 1988), Su(H) (SCHWEISGUTH and POSAKONY 1992), Sos (BONFINI et al. 1992), BicC (MAHONE et al. 1995), beat (FAMBROUGH and GOODMAN 1996), twe (ALPHEY et al. 1992), fzy (DAWSON et al. 1995) and cni (ROTH et al. 1995) regions. Computer generated restriction maps of the sequences of these regions were used to correlate these data with the sequence map. This was reasonably straightforward, the major adjustments being those needed to take transposable elements into account. We have compared the genetic and physical distributions of chromosome breakpoints in several ways. One is shown in Figure 3. In this Figure we plot the numbers of breakpoints in each defined genetic interval with the length of DNA in that interval. It is clear that the two parameters are well correlated [Spearman’s rank coefficient (SPEARMAN 1904) $r_s = 0.78$, $t_{43} = 8.17$, $P = <0.001$], despite some degree of ascertainment bias in the data (most marked in the intervals surrounding b where very large scale irradiation experiments have been done). Thus, the non-random clustering of aberration breakpoints seen in genetic mapping experiments is due to differing DNA target sizes, rather than to some intrinsic property of the sequences themselves.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We chose the Adh region of *D. melanogaster* for our first experiment in megabase sequencing and sequence analysis because this region had been subjected to genetic analysis in greater detail than any of comparable size in a metazoan species. This has allowed us to integrate sequence analysis with saturating mutational analysis on a scale not previously been in any metazoan organism.

A critical feature of the data is that the genes are not subject to ascertainment bias, they only share a common chromosomal location. The comparison of the sequences of genes known required for a “normal” phenotype and those not known by phenotypically mutant alleles has shown a suprisingly strong correlation between evolutionary conservation and “essentialness” of function. The fact that two independent measures of functional importance, evolutionary conservation over 500 million years and requirement for normal phenotype, are correlated has significant implications. For example, it argues that functionally essential genes are not organism specific, nor are their functions protected by gene duplication.
Functionally essential genes show a second characteristic: on average they are expressed at higher levels, as judged by their representation in EST collections, than are genes that are not required for a normal phenotype.

MIKLOS and RUBIN (1996) estimated that about 30% of the genes of *D. melanogaster* are "vital", that is loss of their function will result in lethality. Estimates of the fraction of the genes that are vital from our present analyses give the slightly lower figure of 24%, since we have 53 genes known or suspected on genetic data to be lethals, out of a total of 218 protein coding genes.

One major challenge is to discover the functions of not only those genes for which mutant alleles are already known, but also those for which no alleles have been recovered in the screens performed so far. One general approach will be to engineer dominant gain-of-function alleles of these, for example by using the P-element engineered by RØRTH (1996). Another approach will be to make double mutant combinations when we have reason to believe that a gene may be "redundant" due to a second gene in the genome. For example, mutations of *BG: DS08249.2* could be selected on a background mutant for the other known glycerol phosphate oxidase gene. Finally, the sequences or patterns of expression of a gene might suggest more appropriate phenotypic or biochemical assays to perform in search of its function.

This analysis of just 2.9-Mb of *Drosophila* sequence has been enormously informative and rewarding. Despite the fact that there is much more to be learned about this sequence, and the proteins it encodes, it has proved to be an invaluable experiment in preparation for the complete genomic sequence of this little fly, which we expect within the next year. Two matters are not in doubt, first, there is enough even in 2.9-Mb to keep biologists busy for many years and, second, their work will be invaluable in furthering our understanding not only of how *Drosophila* works, and how it evolved, but also of human gene function.
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Figure 1. A summary molecular map of the Adh region, covering 2.9-Mb of DNA. Genes located on the top of each map are transcribed from distal to proximal (with respect to the telomere of chromosome arm 2L); those on the bottom are transcribed from proximal to distal. The gene symbols used in this figure are in bold, if not the formal symbol then the latter is shown in a lighter font (formal symbols are abbreviated, their BG: prefix being omitted from Figures 1 and 2). P-element insertions are shown as triangles projecting to the molecular map. Red bars indicate transcribed regions, with intron-exon structures as predicted. Those in dark red are confirmed by a cDNA or were previously known; those in light red have only GENEFINDER or GENSCAN predictions (with cutoffs of 20 and 45, respectively). The blue and green boxes are BLASTX or TBLASTX matches detected using genomic DNA sequences from a GenBank submission (usually a single P1 or BAC clone) to search against sequences of other species in the databases. Similarities are shown in green for expectations between $P = 10^{-8}$ and $P = 10^{-50}$; blue for expectations of $P = 10^{-51}$ or higher. Once translations of predicted or known genes were used for BLASTP searches, some similarities were found that had not been detected using the nucleic acid sequence sequence of the genomic clones. A summary of these BLASTP data are to be found in Table S2. Transposable elements are indicated by black boxes and are named according to FlyBase. Genes defined genetically are shown above the map. Genes whose symbols are within square brackets are not tied to the map. These genes are indicated above a horizontal line when their order with respect to the genes below the line is not known. A scale in Kb is shown, approximately 1 cm = 10-Kb.

Figure 2a - 2c. Enlarged views of the $Sos - RpII33$, $l(2)35Bb - vas$ and $twe - chif$ regions. Symbols and conventions as in Figure 1. A scale in Kb is shown, approximately 3 cm = 10-Kb.

Figure 3. A comparison of the distribution of DNA with that of genetically mapped chromosome breakpoints in the $kuz - dac$ region. The genetic positions of 571 chromosome breakpoints have been determined (J. ROOTE, M. ASHBURNER and colleagues, data in FlyBase) with respect to 48 genes. The number in each gene interval is plotted along with the DNA content (in Kb) of the same interval. The DNA lengths were measured between the chromosomally distal ends of genes (as defined by the predictions, see Figure 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gene symbol</th>
<th>class (1)</th>
<th>sequence (2)</th>
<th>EMS</th>
<th>rad. (3)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>mutant alleles:</th>
<th>all (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kuz</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)34Db</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sos (l(2)34Ea)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tamas (l(2)34Dc)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sop2 (l(2)34Dd)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orc5 (l(2)34Df)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtPolB (l(2)34De)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpII33 (l(2)34Dg)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)34Ec</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ance (l(2)34Eb)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rk</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)34Fa</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi35A</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wb (l(2)34Fb)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms(2)34Fe</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)34Fc</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)34Fd</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Aa</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elB</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pu</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elA</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>noc</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>osp</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adh</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms(2)35Bi</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Bb</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Bf</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Bc</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Be</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Bd</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Bg</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su(H) (l(2)35Bh)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ck</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tf1IS (l(2)35Cf)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vas</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stc (l(2)35Cb)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rd</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Cc</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gft (l(2)35Cd)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms(2)35Ci</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esg (l(2)35Ce)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Cg</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>worniu (l(2)35Da)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Ch</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sna (l(2)35Db)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lace (l(2)35Dc)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CycE (l(2)35Dd)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Df</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Di</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gli (l(2)35Dg)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Ea</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35De</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Dh</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs(2)35Ec</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms(2)35Eb</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs(2)35Ed</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BicC</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-α1D (l(2)35Fa)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twe</td>
<td>l,ms,fs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crp (l(2)35Fd)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Fb</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heix (l(2)35Fc)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sed5 (l(2)35Ff)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cni</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fzy</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cact</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Fe</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chif</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Fg</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dac (l(2)36Ae)</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Refers to the most 'extreme' mutant phenotype known. v: visible; l: lethal; ms: male-sterile; fs: female-sterile; nv: none of these. Only ADH-null alleles of Adh are included in this table.

(2) A '+' indicates that a genomic or cDNA sequence of the gene was determined independently of this work. Except for the following these are all available from the nucleic acid sequence databases: l(2)35Aa (C. FLORES), Sop2 (A. HUDSON), gft (H. MISTRY), rk (J. BAKER), ck (D. KIEHART), vig (K. EDWARDS), worniu (T. IP) and chiffon (G. LANDIS and J. TOWER). Sequences of the following known genes that had not been identified genetically are also available from the sequence databases: Mst35Ba, Mst35Bb, tRNA:G3:35Ba - 35Be, spell, ppk, Adhr, B4, Rab14, Tim17, PRL-1, Idgf1, Idgf2 and Idgf3. In addition cDNA sequences of the following new genes have been determined by others: BG:DS01514.2 and BG:DS05899.1 (M. LEPTIN), beat-B and beat-C (T. PIPES).

(3) X-rays, gamma-rays and neutrons.
(4) "all" includes alleles induced by other mutagens, e.g. other chemicals, UV, alleles of unknown origin and spontaneous alleles. Genes with no mutant alleles are those predicted on the basis of overlapping deletion phenotypes (see text). Aberration alleles include those in the various mutagen columns. P-element alleles do not include those induced by PM dysgenesis or transposase-induced derivatives of P-element alleles (but these are included in the totals).
**TABLE 2.**

Sequenced P1 and BAC clones in region 34D-36A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>in situ</th>
<th>accession number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BACR48E02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC006302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS07660</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC003924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01368</td>
<td>34C4-34D2</td>
<td>AC002434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS08249</td>
<td>34D1-34D2</td>
<td>L49405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS08284</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC004348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS00941</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC001659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS08220</td>
<td>34D6-34E3</td>
<td>AC001664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS00180</td>
<td>34E4-34E5</td>
<td>AC001660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01514</td>
<td>34F1-34F2</td>
<td>AC002515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS00131</td>
<td>34E4-34E5</td>
<td>AC001662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS05899</td>
<td>34F1-34F2</td>
<td>AC004326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01759</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC004360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01523</td>
<td>34F3-34F4</td>
<td>AC003120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01652</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC001666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS03792</td>
<td>35A1-35A2</td>
<td>AC001661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01068</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC002516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS06238</td>
<td>35B2-35B3</td>
<td>AC004118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS08340</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC001663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS04641</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC002440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC001665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01486</td>
<td>35B6-35B7</td>
<td>AC004359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS09219</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC001647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS07721</td>
<td>35B2-35B10</td>
<td>L49403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS00810</td>
<td>35B6-35B7</td>
<td>L49404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS06874</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC001657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS03431</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC001648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS03144</td>
<td>35B6-35B7</td>
<td>AC001649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS03323</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC002439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01219</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC004244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS00929</td>
<td>35B8-35C1</td>
<td>AC002502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS04929</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC003696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS03192</td>
<td>35C1-35C2</td>
<td>AC004545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS09194</td>
<td>35C1-35C3</td>
<td>AC004545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS07295</td>
<td>35C1-35C2</td>
<td>AC004545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS05639</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC002437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS07851</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC004361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01362</td>
<td>35D1-35D2</td>
<td>AC002436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS03023</td>
<td>35D1-35D2</td>
<td>L49394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS01845</td>
<td>35D1-35D3</td>
<td>AC001646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS04862</td>
<td>35D3-35D4</td>
<td>AC003698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACR44L22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC006303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clone ID</td>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>Accession Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS07108</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC004362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS09217</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC003700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS02252</td>
<td>35E3-35E5</td>
<td>AC002493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS00365</td>
<td>35F1-35F4</td>
<td>AC004113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS07486</td>
<td>35F1-35F2</td>
<td>AC003925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS08681</td>
<td>35F1-35F2</td>
<td>AC001651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS00913</td>
<td>35F1-35F2</td>
<td>AC001658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS04095</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC002501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS02795</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC002441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS07473</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AC003701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS02740</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L49408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS09218</td>
<td>35F11-36A2</td>
<td>AC002438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS02780</td>
<td>36A1-36A2</td>
<td>AC002514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clones are listed in their physical order along the chromosome from distal to proximal. An *in situ* hybridization site to polytene chromosomes is only given if this has been determined directly (rather than being inferred from the contig).
TABLE 3.
Selected regions of the genome of *D. melanogaster* subjected to ‘saturation’ genetic analysis for lethal complementation groups, showing the average ratio of lethal loci to polytene chromosome bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>band no.</th>
<th>lethal groups</th>
<th>average no. lethals/reference lethal group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adh</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-w</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ry</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ddc</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpp</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pk</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kar</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tra</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. This study (includes predicted lethal loci, see Table 1); 2. JUDD *et al.* (1972); 3. HILLIKER *et al.* (1981); 4. STATHAKIS *et al.* (1995); 5. LITTLEJOHN and BELLEN (1994); 6. HEITZLER *et al.* (1993); 7. GAUSZ *et al.* (1979); 8. KOZLOVA *et al.* (1994); 9. BELOTE *et al.* (1990).
In order to calculate the expected percentage of the 145 genes that did not have loss-of-function phenotypes (218 total genes – 73 with such phenotypes) we made the assumption that the 24 genes with phenotypes that we were unable to assign to a specific ORF (73 genes with loss-of-function phenotypes – 49 such genes assigned to an ORF) had the same probability of having a BLAST hit at a particular P value, and the same probability of having an EST match, as the 49 genes we could assign to single ORFs. We multiplied the number of the 49 genes with a phenotype that had a BLAST hit at a particular value of P or an EST match by $73/49$ and then subtracted this number from the corresponding number derived using 218 genes in the Adh region.
Table 4

Percent of the 218 predicted genes in the Adh region with BLAST scores better than the indicated P value when compared to the indicated subsets of GenBank. The percentage of these genes that also have EST matches is given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Other species</th>
<th>Vertebrates</th>
<th>C. elegans</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae</th>
<th>Plants</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Drosophila</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−7</td>
<td>66 (51)</td>
<td>57 (51)</td>
<td>55 (53)</td>
<td>31 (66)</td>
<td>24 (68)</td>
<td>30 (51)</td>
<td>47 (48)</td>
<td>71 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−20</td>
<td>51 (55)</td>
<td>45 (55)</td>
<td>37 (55)</td>
<td>19 (78)</td>
<td>17 (64)</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
<td>36 (51)</td>
<td>58 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−50</td>
<td>31 (60)</td>
<td>27 (64)</td>
<td>18 (67)</td>
<td>9 (80)</td>
<td>8 (82)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>25 (63)</td>
<td>41 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−100</td>
<td>14 (87)</td>
<td>13 (93)</td>
<td>8 (83)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
<td>3 (83)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
<td>23 (80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of 49 genes, known to display loss-of-function phenotypes, with BLAST scores better than the indicated P value when compared to the indicated subsets of GenBank. The percentage of these genes that also have EST matches is given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Other species</th>
<th>Vertebrates</th>
<th>C. elegans</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae</th>
<th>Plants</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Drosophila</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−7</td>
<td>90 (80)</td>
<td>84 (78)</td>
<td>76 (78)</td>
<td>55 (81)</td>
<td>39 (84)</td>
<td>43 (71)</td>
<td>78 (71)</td>
<td>94 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−20</td>
<td>82 (80)</td>
<td>76 (78)</td>
<td>64 (77)</td>
<td>37 (100)</td>
<td>27 (85)</td>
<td>18 (89)</td>
<td>76 (70)</td>
<td>94 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−50</td>
<td>63 (77)</td>
<td>61 (77)</td>
<td>37 (94)</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>67 (73)</td>
<td>84 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−100</td>
<td>37 (100)</td>
<td>37 (100)</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53 (81)</td>
<td>65 (84)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of 145 genes, predicted to lack loss-of-function phenotypes, with BLAST scores better than the indicated P value when compared to the indicated subsets of GenBank. The percentage of these genes calculated to have EST matches is given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Other species</th>
<th>Vertebrates</th>
<th>C. elegans</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae</th>
<th>Plants</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Drosophila</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−7</td>
<td>54 (27)</td>
<td>44 (25)</td>
<td>45 (32)</td>
<td>19 (41)</td>
<td>17 (48)</td>
<td>23 (32)</td>
<td>32 (20)</td>
<td>59 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−20</td>
<td>35 (25)</td>
<td>29 (24)</td>
<td>23 (24)</td>
<td>10 (36)</td>
<td>12 (41)</td>
<td>10 (29)</td>
<td>17 (4)</td>
<td>40 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−50</td>
<td>14 (19)</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>5 (57)</td>
<td>3 (40)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>19 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1e−100</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX

Detailed description of genes identified in the Adh region.

B4. B4 was discovered by SOTILLOS et al. (1997) and is the gene 823-bp distal to, and divergently transcribed from, kuz. The P-element insertion PZ05337 is within B4. This mutation is viable and fertile with Df[2L]B84A7, an including deletion. The P-element ko1403 (a cluster mate of ko1403, Table S1) is a lethal kuz allele but may also affect B4 function, since the viability of hemizygous ko1405 flies can be increased by C765:GALA driving UAS:B4 (SOTILLOS et al. 1997). B4 corresponds to BG:DS07660.4 (only the N-terminus is on this sequence) and the predicted protein has no similarity to other proteins, even when the full-length protein of SOTILLOS et al. (1997) is used in a BLASTP analysis.

kuz(l(2)34Da). l(2)34Da was first identified as being a lethal associated with TE34Ca, an insertion of ISING’s w’rost’ element, and its alleles TE34Cb and TE34Cc (M. ASHBURNER and J. ROOTE unpublished observations). It is kuzbanian, encoding a disintegrin-like metalloprotease of the ADAM family (BG:DS07660.3) (ROOKE et al. 1996; FAMBROUGH et al. 1996). kuz is required for Notch signal transduction, perhaps for the proteolytic cleavage of the Notch protein (SOTILLOS et al. 1997; PAN and RUBIN 1997). Several P-element alleles of kuz are known, only some of which are lethal (see Table S1).

BG:DS07660.1. This gene is predicted to encode a protein of 453 amino acids which shows significant similarity in sequence to sodium/phosphate cotransporters of mammals (e.g. BLASTP, P = 10^-59, 32% identity, over 88% of length, to the brain-specific sodium dependent inorganic phosphate transport protein of rat, SP:P28722). It is also similar (30% identity over 86% of length) to a Na+-dependent inorganic phosphate cotransporter of D. melanogaster mapped to 43B (EMBL:Y07720). PSORT predicts that the protein has eight transmembrane domains, as do other members of this protein family (GRIFFITH and SANSOM 1998).

BG:BACR48E02.4. By virtue of significant sequence similarity with the human and mouse RAS-suppressor protein, RSU1 (e.g., BLASTP, P = 10^-73, 55% identity over 86% of its length with SP:Q15404) this predicted gene probably codes for a small GTPase regulatory/interacting protein identified in mice by CUTLER et al. (1992) in an expression cloning assay for suppressors of the v-Ras phenotype.

BG:DS01368.1. The predicted protein product of this gene is weakly similar (BLASTP, P = 10^-20, 26% identity over 51%) to a hypothetical protein of C. elegans (C26B9.1, SPTREMBL:Q18202).

BG:DS08249.2. This gene almost certainly encodes a Drosophila mitochondrial glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, but it is not that known as Gpo (which maps to chromosome arm 2R) (DAVIS and MACINTYRE 1988). The protein product predicted for BG:DS08249.2 has significant matches to the mitochondrial glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase of organisms as different as human (P = 10^-93, with SP:P43304) and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (P = 10^-83, with SP:P32191) as well as having both PROSITE and PFAM FAD-dependent glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase matches.

BG:DS08249.3. The product of BG:DS08249.3 has a PROSITE (PS00518) and PFAM RING-finger domain (PF00997, P = 7.9 x 10^-9) but the only significant BLASTP match is with a hypothetical human protein (P = 10^-72, 43% identity over 91% of its length with SP:O75598). Weaker matches are seen with other C3HC4-type zinc finger proteins, e.g. the Lnx protein of mouse (P = 10^-41, with SPTREMBL:070623) and a hypothetical protein of C. elegans (P = 10^-7, with F4526.2, SPTREMBL:O62248).

BG:DS00797.1. This predicted gene encodes a protein similar to the alpha-factor transporter (endosomal P2A protein) of S. cerevisiae. The P-element ko7245 is a viable and phenotypically invisible insertion (although associated with a lethal chromosome) that is located 9-bp 5’ to the putative start of transcription of this gene. One, of 135, transposable induced excisions of this P-element is a long distally extending deletion (at least to kuz); this deletion is not mutant for l(2)34Db, giving a distal limit for this gene. The protein encoded by BG:DS00797.1 is predicted to be a transmembrane domain protein (PSORT), similar to the EMP70 protein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (BLASTP, P = 10^-94, 34% identity over 72% of length) and a related protein from Arabidopsis thaliana (SPTREMBL:O04091). It has been suggested by SCHIMOLLER et al. (1998) that members of the EMP70 protein family may be involved in small molecule transport in the endosome.

BG:DS00797.2. This hypothetical protein is similar to proteins from E. coli, S. cerevisiae and Pennisetum ciliare whose function are unknown, but which belong to the same protein family (UPF0010).

p38b. This gene, encoding a MAP kinase, corresponds to BG:DS00797.3 as shown by its sequence. It was first found on our sequence by HAN et al. (1998) and was also identified by an EDGP STS sequence (ESTS:186535). It is implicated in the antimicrobial response pathway, over-expression down-regulating the induction of defense proteins by bacteria. p38b has also been identified by ADACHI-YAMADA et al. (1999) who have shown that it is involved in the TGFβ signalling pathway, since expression of a dominant-negative form causes a dpp-like phenotype and enhances the dpp mutant phenotype. p38b is very similar in protein sequence to human mitogen-activated protein kinase p38 (72% amino-acid sequence identity). There is, in D. melanogaster, a second p38 homolog, Mpk2 mapping at 95E. The proteins encoded by p38b and Mpk2 (= p38a) are nearly 80%
identical in amino-acid sequence. Whether or not they can functionally substitute for each other is not yet known. p38 is the fourth MAPK to be identified in Drosophila, the others are the products of the rolled and basket genes, belonging to the ERK2 and JNK families of MAP kinases respectively; both p38 and Mpk2 belong to the stress-activated family.

**BG:DS00797.4.** The conceptual protein of this predicted gene only shows significant similarity with one of unknown function from C. elegans, F26Cl11.1 (BLASTP, P = 10^{-19} with SPTREMBL:Q17843, a protein with PROSITE Histidine acid phosphatases signatures) and another of unknown function from the plant Pimpinella brachycarpa (BLASTP, P = 10^{-33} with SPTREMBL:O81652).

**BG:DS00797.5.** The predicted protein of BG:DS00797.5 has a PFAM ABC transporter pattern (P = 1.9 x 10^{-40}) and shows BLASTP similarities in its C-terminal exon with ABC transporters from mammals, but the identities are relatively low (about 32%). It resembles, at a similar level of identity, a hypothetical protein of C. elegans, F33E11.4, which also belongs to the ABC transporter protein family.

**BG:DS00797.6.** The protein of this predicted gene shows significant similarities with only two others - one is a hypothetical protein of C. elegans, K09A11.1, said to be similar to transposases (P = 10^{-15}, 21% identity over 39% of residues with SPTREMBL:Q21374) and the other is the transposase of the Hermit element of Lucilia cuprina (P = 10^{-11}, 19% identity over 66% of residues with SPTREMBL:Q25239).

**anon-34Da.** This gene was named for transcript 7 of BONFINI et al. (1992), mapping about 20-Kb distal to Sos. From its position, it probably corresponds to BG:DS00797.7, and this may correspond to l(2)34Db. The predicted protein is similar to the SEC7 protein of S. cerevisiae (P = 10^{-171}, 33% identity over 28% of length). In yeast this protein is essential for vegetative growth and is involved in ER to Golgi protein transport (ACHSTETTER et al. 1988; FRANZUSOFF et al. 1991). The Drosophila protein also shares a domain with the bovine guanyl-nucleotide exchange protein (MORINGA et al. 1996) (61% identity over 46% of length) and a similar protein is found in Arabidopsis thaliana (F23E12.60).

**BG:DS00941.1.** This is a Drosophila carbonic anhydrase. It shows highly significant BLASTP matches over its entire length with this enzyme from human, mouse, Chlamydomonas, Anabaena and zebra-fish, and there is a similar sequence predicted in C. elegans (R173.1). In vertebrates there are several carbonic dehydratases with different sub-cellular localizations. BG:DS00941.1 is most similar to the human CAR7 and mouse Car2 genes, known or presumed to code for cytosolic forms of the enzyme, which catalyses the hydration of carbon dioxide. There is biochemical evidence for three carbonate dehydratase genes in Drosophila (CHOUDHARY et al. 1992), but these had not been characterized at the molecular level.

**BG:DS00941.2.** This gene would appear to code for one of two Drosophila RNA adenosine deaminases (BASS 1997). The other is also a predicted gene, from the EDGP (EG:BACN35H14.1). The protein predicted from BG:DS00941.2 shows about 30% identity over its entire length to the double-stranded RNA adenosine deaminases of human, mouse and Xenopus; these are involved in pre-mRNA editing. There are equally similar proteins predicted for S. cerevisiae (YGL243w), S. pombe and C. elegans (T20H4.4) which lack double-stranded RNA-binding domains and the S. cerevisiae protein has been shown to be an adenosine deaminase acting on tRNA (ADAT).

**BG:DS00941.2.** was independently identified as an RNA adenosine deaminase by L. KEEGAN (personal communication), who has named it Adat. The absence of a ds-RNA binding domain from this protein, and in vitro studies of the expressed protein, have led L. KEEGAN and colleagues (personal communication) to the conclusion that this protein functions as a tRNA, rather than as a pre-mRNA, adenosine deaminase. This gene probably does not correspond to l(2)34Db, since we expect BG:DS00941.2 to have been included in a 15-Kb Kpn1 Sos transgene (BONFINI et al. 1992) which does not rescue alleles of this lethal locus.

**BG:DS00941.3.** The only significant BLASTP match with the protein predicted for BG:DS00941.3 is to a human cDNA sequence (SP:O43351) that matches the human EST EMBL:AA085966, itself said to be similar to the human P31 proteasome subunit (P = 10^{-10}, 53% identity over 21% of length).

**Sos (l(2)34Ea).** l(2)34Ea was one of the most mutable genes in the early EMS mutagenesis experiments. It is the gene named Son of sevenless by ROGGE et al. (1991), who recovered an allele as a dominant suppressor of a gain-of-function allele of sevenless. The same gene was identified as an enhancer of sevenless by SIMON et al. (1991), who showed it to encode a guanine-nucleotide exchange factor required for signal transduction in the RAS pathway (see also BONFINI et al. 1992). Sos corresponds to BG:DS00941.4, as is shown by direct sequence comparison.

**black.** The first mutant allele of the black body color gene was discovered by T. H. MORGAN in October 1910. It is a non-vital gene and all mutant alleles result in very darkly pigmented adult flies and white pupal cases. The phenotype results from a failure to synthesise β-alanine (HODGETTS 1972), and can be corrected by dietary β-alanine (JACOBS 1974). β-alanine forms an adduct with dopamine (WRIGHT 1987) and this is required for proper tanning of the cuticle (the β-alanyl-dopamine synthetase is probably the product of the ebony gene, see WALTER et al. 1996). There are two possible pathways of β-alanine synthesis, by decarboxylation of aspartic acid and by pyrimidine catabolism (JACOBS 1974). The facts that black mutant alleles are enhanced by mutations in sur(r), which encodes the NAD^-dependent dihydrooracil dehydrogenase (BAHN 1972) and that 6-azathymidine
produces a *black* phenocopy (Pедерсен 1982) suggested that pyrimidine catabolism is the more important in *Drosophila*.

The predicted gene BG:DS00941.5 maps between *Sos* and BG:DS00941.6; we argue that the latter is l(2)34Dc (see below). This is precisely the genetic location of *black* by deletion mapping; moreover, these three genes are so very closely spaced that we can be confident that no others are to be found in this 18-Kb interval. BG:DS00941.5 shows a good match (45-50% identity) to glutamate decarboxylase from mammals (mouse, human) and to the rat cysteine sulfinate decarboxylase (SP: TREMBL:Q64611). The *Drosophila* gene had been sequenced by PHILLIPS et al. (1993). A cDNA of this gene, the gift of M. PHILLIPS, crosses the breakpoint of Tp(2;3)b79d6, an aberration allele of *black* that is viable when hemizygous with long deletions of the *black* region. There is a second gene encoding glutamate decarboxylase in *Drosophila*, which is required for the synthesis of the neurotransmitter γ-aminobutyric acid, Gad2, mapping to 64A (Jackson et al. 1990). Glutamate decarboxylase is known to have aspartate decarboxylase (ADC) activity in mammals (PORTER and Martin 1988). This suggests that the absence of β-alanine in *black* mutations is due to a failure of aspartic acid catabolism, rather than of pyrimide breakdown, despite the data of JACOB et al. (1974) which indicated no difference in the decarboxylation of H3-aspartic acid between a *black* strain and a wild-type (see also PHILLIPS et al. 1993).

*tamas* (l(2)34Dc). This was identified as a lethal locus from eight EMS induced alleles. Adult escapers have missing bristles on the head and notum and blistered wings with some disruption of the wing veins. This gene has been deletion mapped to between *black* and l(2)34Dd or l(2)34Df (the last two genes have not been ordered genetically). Since l(2)34Dd is a *Drosophila* homolog of yeast *SOP2* (below) (BG:DS00941.7) and since BG:DS00941.6 is the only open reading frame between *black* and Sop2, in a very closely packed interval, we conclude that l(2)34Dc is BG:DS00941.6, i.e. encodes the catalytic subunit of the mitochondrial DNA polymerase, previously sequenced from *Drosophila* by two groups (LEWIS et al. 1996; ROPP and CEPHELAND 1996). It is interesting that BG:DS00941.9, just 8-Kb proximal, encodes the accessory subunit of this enzyme (see below).

IYENGAR, ROOTE and CAMPOS (1999) identified an EMS-induced mutation of l(2)34Dc in a screen for larval defective in their response to light. This phenotype was found to be a consequence of a defect in larval locomotor behavior. Four mutant alleles of l(2)34Dc, which they call *tamas*, were sequenced; two were mis-sense mutations and the others small (1-bp and 5-bp) deletions within the coding region of the gene encoding the catalytic subunit of the mitochondrial DNA polymerase.

*Sop2* (l(2)34Dd). This gene is known only from three EMS induced lethal alleles. HUDSON and COOLEY (1998) have shown, by transformation rescue, that these are in BG:DS00941.7, a *Drosophila* homolog of the Schizosaccharomyces pombe SOP2 (Suppressor of Profilin 2) gene. A similar sequence is the 41-Kd subunit of the human ARP2/3 complex, a protein complex involved in the control of actin filament assembly (WELCH et al. 1997).

Orc5 (l(2)34Df). Only two EMS induced lethal alleles are known for l(2)34Df. Genetically it maps between l(2)34Dc and l(2)34Dd or between l(2)34Dd and l(2)34De and there are two candidate predicted genes: BG:DS00941.8 and BG:DS00941.9. It is the former, encoding the *Drosophila* Origin Recognition Complex subunit 5 protein (GOSSEN et al. 1995), as shown by M. PFLUMM (personal communication) by transformation rescue. This conclusion places l(2)34Df between l(2)34Dd and l(2)34De.

*MtpolB* (l(2)34De). Genetically l(2)34Dd maps between l(2)34Dd (Sop2) or l(2)34Df (Orc5) and l(2)34Dg (Rpl133). The evidence for the order l(2)34Dd l(2)34Dg comes from complementation data with T(2;3)b89c12, which is l(2)34Dd l(2)34Df l(2)34De l(2)34Dg. There is only one predicted gene in the 1.9-Kb separating Orc5 and Rpl133, this is the gene encoding the accessory subunit of the mitochondrial DNA polymerase (BG:DS00941.9) (WANG et al. 1997). It is a reasonable hypothesis that l(2)34Dd encodes this protein.

Rpl133 (l(2)34Dg). l(2)34Dg was first identified from two EMS induced lethal alleles; subsequently the P-element insertion k05605 was shown to be allelic. This insertion is in the 5′ of BG:DS00941.10, encoding a homolog to the 33-Kd subunit of RNA polymerase II from mammals, *S. cerevisiae* and *A. thaliana*; we can be confident that this is indeed the Rpl133 gene of *Drosophila*, since the amino-acid identities are about 68% between the entire *Drosophila* protein and its human homolog.

BG:DS08220.1. This is a predicted gene with a match to human and *C. elegans* EST sequences of unknown function. The P-elements PZ06646 and rN149 are phenotypically silent insertions at the same nucleotide 1-Kb upstream of this transcription unit; the viable insertion, k10802, is inserted 11-bp 5′ to this transcription unit. Over 180 transposase-induced excisions of the PZ06646 element have been recovered; all are viable when heterozygous with long deletions of the 34D-35B interval. Three of (84) transposase-induced excisions of rN149 are associated with lethal mutations, two of which map distal to BG:DS08220.1 and are, presumably, due to secondary events, and the third deletes *Ance*-wb. The product of BG:DS08220.1 may well be involved in a signal transduction pathway. The most similar proteins are the hypothetical *KIAA0167* human protein (BLASTP, 10^{-18}, 42% identity over 51% of residues) and hypothetical *C. elegans* protein Y391A1A.15B (BLASTP, 10^{-13}, 45% identity over 30% of residues) but significant similarities are seen over short regions with the pig and rat inositol 1,2,3,4-tetrakisphosphate receptor (or binding-protein).

*anon-34Ea.* This gene was defined by FlyBase for a transcript immediately 5′ to *Ance* detected by TATEI et al. (1995). It is BG:DS08220.2, and is without any significant database matches. The 16.5-Kb EcoRI fragment
transformed by TATEI et al. (1995) carries anon-34Ea (and Ance) and rescues mutant alleles of Ance, as well as the homozygously deleted region in Df(2L)88F3/Df(2L)nBR55 heterozygotes (TATEI et al. 1995). The viable insertion EP(2)2171 is inserted within the first exon of this gene.

Ance (l(2)34Eb). This vital gene was identified by two EMS induced alleles. It was shown by transformation rescue to encode a peptidyl-dipeptidase A, similar to human angiotensin converting enzyme, hence Ance, by TATEI et al. (1995). It is BG:DS08220.3, and was also sequenced by CORNELL et al. (1995), but mis-mapped by them to 34A. Ance protein is an early marker for amnioserosal differentiation (TATEI et al. 1995; FRANK and RUSHLOW 1996) where it is activated by the zen homeodomain transcription factor (RUSCH and LEVINE 1997). There is a second gene encoding an angiotensin converting enzyme-like protein in Drosophila, Acer mapping at 29D (TAYLOR et al. 1996); clearly these are not functionally redundant, indeed HOUARD et al. (1998) show that the purified ANCE and ACER enzymes, which are 47% identical in amino-acid sequence, have different substrate specificities and expression patterns.

Acyp. A. BAIROCH identified a sequence encoding a homolog of vertebrate acylphosphatase in our sequence of DS00180; this is BG:DS00180.1 (SP:P56544). Biochemical studies of the protein expressed in E. coli confirm its function (PIERI et al. 1998).

BG:DS00180.2, BG:DS00180.3, BG:DS00180.2 and BG:DS00180.3 are predicted genes whose protein sequences are 28% identical and have valine/proline rich repeats. These proteins have significant database matches in unfiltered BLASTP to articulins, cytoskeletal proteins of the epiplasm of flagellates and ciliates. Articulins are characterized by VPVPxxVxxxV repeats (MARRS and BOUCK 1992). BG:DS00180.2, for example, has four copies of a VIK[K E][P H][V P] motif and four copies of a PVEKx[V I][H P][K] motif.

BG:DS00180.5. The protein of this gene has a limited region of similarity with angiotensin converting enzymes from mammals and Drosophila, e.g. 42% identity over 13% to the human DCPI protein (SP:P12821). It does not have a PROSITE zinc metallopeptidases, zinc-binding region signature, nor is it similar overall with either the Ance or Acer proteins. The existence of this gene is based on ab initio prediction; it has no EST matches.

BG:DS00180.12, BG:DS00180.7, BG:DS00180.8, BG:DS00180.9, BG:DS00180.10 and BG:DS00180.14. These are a cluster of predicted genes all of which show features of extracellular protein domains, such as EGF repeats and similarities to vertebrate tenascins and fibrillins. Inter se their similarities are in the twilight zone (18-28% identity) except for BG:DS00180.12 and BG:DS00180.8 (37% identity). Four of these genes have Drosophila EST sequences. Their relationships and structures require further study.

BG:DS00180.11. This is one of the two genes in this region that encode cytochrome P450s (the other is l(2)35Fb). The most similar protein is Cyp28a1 of Drosophila mettleri (68% identity), one of a new family of cytochrome P450s identified as being induced by isoquinoline alkaloids found in the cactus hosts of this desert species (DANIELSON et al. 1997).

rk. rickets was discovered after UV mutagenesis by EDMONDSON (1948). All alleles have a recessive visible phenotype characterized by bent legs (especially those of the metathorax) and unexpanded wings (at least in strong alleles). It is not lethal, since overlapping deletions (e.g. Df(2L)e180f1/Df(2L)b85fA1) are viable (and extreme rickets). There is one P-element allele known, rk-1; its insertion site maps some 4-Kb upstream of the rk sequence as identified by J. BAKER (personal communication) as corresponding to BG:DS00180.13. This gene encodes a 7TM protein that may be a neupeptide hormone receptor since it shows sequence similarity to the mammalian G-protein coupled lutropin-choriogonadotrophic hormone receptor (BLASTP, P = 10^-11 with SP:P22888) (J. BAKER personal communication). The rk protein is also similar in sequence to the product of the Drosophila Fsh gene, described as being related to the mammalian glycoprotein hormone receptors (HAUSER et al. 1997).

BG:DS01514.2 and BG:DS05899.1. These genes are of rather different structure. The former has seven exons and the latter two. Yet their predicted proteins are of similar length (668 and 681 amino-acids, respectively) and 43% identical (71% similar) in sequence. Both show significant similarities with long-chain-fatty-acid-CoA-ligases from species as different as Archaeoglobus fulgidus, yeasts and mammals, and with similar genes in C. elegans (R09E10.3) and Arabidopsis thaliana (T08I13.8). This is presumably their function in Drosophila. The P-element k09909 maps to BG:DS01514.2. M. LEPTIN and C. COELHO (personal communication) have sequenced cDNAs for both of these genes.

l(2)34Fa. This vital gene is known from two EMS alleles and one P-element insertion (k00811). The insertion site of the latter has been sequenced and falls 1.4-Kb 5' to the open reading frame of BG:DS05899.2. The predicted product of this gene has no sequence matches.

BG:DS05899.7. The predicted protein of this gene shows similarities to a variety of proteins from C. elegans, S. cerevisiae, Arabidopsis and mammals. These all have leucine rich repeats in common with BG:DS05899.7.

BG:DS05899.3. The product of BG:DS05899.3 is cysteine-rich and has relatively low similarities (BLASTP expectations in the range P = 10^-7 to 10^-1) with mammalian fibrillin 1 precursors, as well as with the apx-1 gene product of C. elegans. The latter is a Delta-like protein expressed maternally in the worm and
interacting with the gpl-1 protein (a homolog of Drosophila Notch) in the determination of the AP axis of the 4-cell embryo (MELLO et al. 1994).

**BG:DS05899.4.** This gene is predicted to encode a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha chain. It shows 54% identity (over 57% of its length) with the human neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha-7 chain precursor (CHRNA7, SP:P36544) and its homologs in chicken and mouse. Three other nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha chains are known in Drosophila, two in 96A on chromosome arm 3R and one at 7E on the X chromosome (data from FlyBase).

**BG:DS01523.2.** BG:DS01523.2 is predicted to encode a protein that has relatively low similarity (25% identity) to Drosophila midline fasciculin and fasciculin-like proteins from chick (SPTREMBL:Q42390), mouse (osteoblast specific factor 2, SPTREMBL:Q62009) and a human TGFβ induced protein (SP:Q15582). The C-terminal region of this 1894 residue predicted protein is very threonine rich (overall the predicted protein is 17.6% threonine), with many small repeat motifs, e.g. nine copies of TT[P|R|N]APTTT[D|E|K], plus many small repeats (e.g. five copies of TTTTA, four of TTTS, four of TTTT).

si35A. si35A was identified by ANHOLT et al. (1996) on the basis of the reduced avoidance to benzaldehyde and other noxious chemicals associated with a P-element insertion. R. ANHOLT (personal communication) has discovered that a similar phenotype is associated with the insertions k16716 and k06901. Both these, and the original si35A insertion, map within a 21-bp interval some 12-Kb 5' to wb. Indeed, k16716, but not the other two insertions, is associated with a very weak wing-blister phenotype (when hemizygous with a wb deletion). However, the strongest smell-impaired phenotype is associated with the insertion k11509, which maps some 30-Kb more distally, within the 5' exon of BG:DS01523.3 (R. ANHOLT, personal communication). One of (128) transposase-induced losses of this element is a lethal allele of wb. This predicted gene encodes a YAK1/DYRK family protein kinase; the Drosophila and human protein (DYRK2, SPTREMBL:Q92630) are 56% identical over 44% of the length of the former.

**wb** (l(2)34Fb). Alleles of wing blister are the most common lethals in EMS screens against deletions uncovering the Adh region. The alleles vary from being completely lethal to viable, with adult flies having a characteristic blister in the central wing. Several P-element alleles have been sequenced, some of these are lethal alleles and some viable. A lethal insertion, PZ09437, maps within a long intron of BG:DS03792.1, a gene encoding a protein similar to both laminin α-1 and α-2 chains of mouse and human. This gene has also been studied by MARTIN et al. (1999), who have determined both its molecular structure and its expression. The gene is among the largest in the Adh region, over 70-Kb in length with a predicted mRNA of 10.8-Kb spliced with at least 16 exons. Its size presumably accounts for its mutability, not only with EMS but also after irradiation; three chromosome aberrations are associated with wb alleles (T(2;3)6r28, Int(2LR)DTD121 and T(2;3)H68). There is an independent gene prediction included within wb: BG:DS03792.2.

**BG:DS01068.10.** This is one of several predicted genes to encode a serine protease. The protein of BG:DS01068.10 is similar to trypsins from several organisms, from Streptomyces glaucescens to macaque. It is most similar to the theta-trypsin of D. melanogaster (37% identity over its entire length).

**BG:DS01068.6.** This is another gene encoding a protein conserved between yeasts and flies, but all of whose significant matches are themselves hypothetical. PSORT strongly predicts this protein to be nuclear. The matches are to F32E10.1 of C. elegans (45% identity over 77% of residues), YGR145W of S. cerevisiae (38% identity over 76% of residues) and SPCC330.09 of S. pombe (37% identity over 78% of residues). Mammalian EST matches indicate that a similar gene (or genes) will be found in mouse and human, in due course.

**Rab14.** Rab14 is one of many genes in D. melanogaster encoding RAS-related proteins. By direct sequence comparison BG:DS01068.7 is Rab14 which had been sequenced by SATOH et al. (1997) but mapped by them to 36A-B. This gene is also identified by an STS sequence derived from a cosmid mapped to 34F-35A (ESTS:S7H4T, EMBL:Z50609). The phenotypically silent P-element insertion k08712 is inserted at the 5' end of Rab14. Three transposase-induced excisions of k08712 are lethal (of 37 recovered). One is an allele of l(2)35Aa and two are alleles of l(2)34Fd. The lethality of the l(2)35Aa derivative of k08712 is rescued by a P-element insertion carrying a 5-Kb l(2)35Aa rescue fragment (given to us by C. FLORES) (in the Df(2)Lk08712-rv21/Df(2)TE35B-7 heterozygote which is deleted for Rab14, l(2)35Aa, spell1 and ppk). These data suggest that l(2)34Fd is distal to Rab14, and that Rab14 itself is not a vital gene.

l(2)35Aa. Seven EMS induced lethal alleles of l(2)35Aa are known. It corresponds to BG:DS01068.8, which encodes a protein similar to a polypeptide N-acetylglactosaminyltransferase of human (SPTREMBL:Q10471), as was demonstrated by FLORES and ENGELS (1999) by transformation rescue of mutant alleles and the overlapping deletions Df(2)Lk0844h and Df(2)TE35B-7.

spell1. spellchecker-1 encodes a Drosophila protein probably involved in DNA mismatch repair, since it carries a mutS protein family signature (FLORES and ENGELS 1999). It corresponds to BG:DS01068.9. spell1 is not a vital gene since a 5-Kb l(2)35Aa transgene rescues the lethality of overlapping deletions (Df(2)Lk0844h) that are homozgyously deleted for both l(2)35Aa and spell1 (FLORES and ENGELS 1999).

ppk. pickpocket encodes a protein whose sequence shows it to be a member of the DEG/ENaC protein superfamily (ADAMSET al. 1998; WALDMANN and LAZDUNSKI 1998). ADAMS et al. (1998) suggest that this
may be involved, as an ion channel protein, in mechanosensory signal transduction, since it is expressed in a subpopulation of multidendritic neurons. It corresponds to BG:DS06238.1. It is not a vital gene since the deletions Df(2L)A400 and Df(2L)rooB88R4 both remove ppk (M. ANDERSON personal communication) and these deletions are viable when heterozygous with each other (see also, above). This gene has also been sequenced by DARBOUX et al. (1998) and described as a multidendritic neuron sodium channel protein.

elbow (el) and pupal (pu). The genetics of the elbow – no ocelli region has long been known to be complex (see DAVIS et al. 1997). elbow and pupal have been known for many years although, until the genetic analysis of the Adh region began, only a single allele of elbow had been recovered. The complex complementation patterns between the many alleles of elbow that have now been analysed suggest that this “gene” is in fact two, elB and elA, and that mutations of each can act as dominant enhancers of mutations of the other. The insertion EP(2)2039 is a weak elbow allele, and enhances Sco, as do other alleles of elB; transposase induced excisions of this element either revert the elbow phenotype, remain elbow, or are deletions extending proximal-wards (to include pupal) or distal-wards (to include l(2)35Aa). This P-element is inserted at the 5′ end of the GENSCAN prediction for BG:DS06238.3, encoding a Zn-finger protein. We suggest that this gene is elB. If BG:DS06238.3 is elB, then BG:DS06238.4, predicted to encode a protein with similarity to a Drosophila pupal cuticle protein (60% identity over 28% of length with the Edg84A protein), is probably pupal (whose most obvious phenotype is a failure of wing expansion) and BG:DS08340.1 is probably elA. BG:DS08340.1 is wholly contained within the 20-Kb deletion associated with el′; its sequence has no significant database matches. Although elB, pu and elA are all non-vital individually, deleting all three genes results in pharate adult lethality, the adult escapers having crippled legs.

noc. no-ocelli was first identified by the absence of ocelli in certain viable overlapping deletion heterozygotes (ASHBURNER et al. 1982a). Subsequently, a number of viable alleles were found including one associated with ISING′s w+ rst TE, TE146 (now TE35B) (GUBB et al. 1985). A lethal complementation group, described as l(2)35Ba, was clearly associated with noc, since heterozygotes between the EMS induced lethal alleles of this group and viable noc alleles had no ocelli. In fact, this lethal locus and noc are the same gene, the viable alleles all being in 3′ regulatory regions (McGILL 1985; CHIA et al. 1985; DAVIS et al. 1990; CHEAH et al. 1994). Three of the EMS induced alleles die as embryos, showing a failure of embryonic head involution with hypertrophy of the supraesophageal ganglion (CHEAH et al. 1994). Paradoxically, overlapping deletions for noc die as larvae, with no CNS phenotype; these three EMS alleles are recessive antimatrons (see discussion in CHEAH et al. 1994). noc encodes a protein with a C2H2-like zinc-finger and several long poly-alanine runs and corresponds to BG:DS04641.1, as shown by direct sequence comparison with the data of CHEAH et al. (1994). This protein shows sequence similarity with the human SPI and SP2 transcription factors.
	noc shows complex genetic interactions with mutations at the elA and elB loci (DAVIS et al. 1997). It is, therefore, of some interest that BG:DS06238.3 which we suggest is elB, and which maps about 100-Kb distal to noc, encodes a zinc-finger protein showing 27% amino-acid sequence identity with the noc protein.

BG:DS01486.1. Ubiquitin-protein ligases are required for the ubiquitination of proteins destined for breakdown via the 26S proteasome. BG:DS01486.1 is the twelfth gene in this family to be discovered in D. melanogaster (data from FlyBase); there are at least 13 in S. cerevisiae (SACCHAROMYCES GENOME DATABASE 1999) and at least ten in C. elegans (WORMPEP 1999). BG:DS01486.1 shows high identities (up to 83%) with 17-Kd ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 of organisms from yeast (UBC13p) to human (VARSHAWSKY 1997).

osp. outspread was first recognised in Cambridge by the outspread wing phenotype of certain viable overlapping deletion heterozygotes (WOODRUFF and ASHBURNER 1997a). Subsequently E.H. GRELL (cited in LINDSLEY and ZIMM 1992) identified an EMS induced allele and many have been found since. It is not a vital gene, as complete deletions of osp are viable. Molecular mapping of aberration breakpoints associated with osp alleles on a phage chromosome walk showed an EMS induced allele and many have been found since. It is not a vital gene, as complete deletions of osp are viable. Molecular mapping of aberration breakpoints associated with osp alleles on a phage chromosome walk showed that three mapped distal to Adh and four mapped proximal to this gene, leading to the conclusion that Adh was contained within osp (CHIA et al. 1985). Subsequent work (McNABB et al. 1996) strengthened this hypothesis and, from our cDNA sequencing, we found that coding exons of osp map both distal to Adh and proximal (BG:DS01486.7). Adh and Adhr appear not to be the only genes included within osp; in addition to these there are two transposable elements (roo and jockey) and two predicted genes, BG:DS07721.1 and BG:DS09219.1. The second of these would be transcribed in the same direction as osp, and may be part of osp itself, if osp has an alternative transcript that has not yet been found as a cDNA (we already know of alternative transcripts of this gene that differ in their 3′ exons). BG:DS07721.1 cannot be part of osp since it would be transcribed from the opposite strand (its existence is predicted by an EST sequence).

There are two P-element insertions in the 5′ exon of osp: one (rj571) causes an osp phenotype, the other (k13218) does not. (A minority of transposase-induced excisions of k13218, 10/225, are phenotypically outspread.) The gene is the largest that we have found in the sequenced region, extending over 95-Kb, with 5.3 and 3.9-Kb cDNAs.

The predicted osp protein has a pleckstrin homology (PH) domain (PEAM:PF00169), implicating a role in the cytoskeleton. It shows some similarity to a protein involved in the control of the actin cytoskeleton in mice (p116Rip, SPTREMBL:P97434), to the myosin heavy chain products of the human MYH3 and MYH8 genes and to the S. cerevisiae gene product USO1, involved in intracellular protein transport.
Adh and Adhr. These are a pair of related genes, coding for proteins with 33% amino-acid identity. The positions of the two introns which interrupt the coding regions of each are the same in the two genes, supporting the hypothesis that they arose by tandem duplication (SCHAEFFER and AQUADRO 1987). The transcript of Adhr is much rarer than that of Adh and is always found as an Adh-Adhr dicistronic mRNA (BROGNA and ASHBURNER 1997). These genes correspond to BG:DS01486.8 and BG:DS01486.9, respectively. Despite its sequence matches Adhr is probably not an alcohol dehydrogenase; it is not an essential gene (ASHBURNER 1998).

**BG:DS00810.1**. The product of this predicted gene has a significant BLASTP score (P = 10^{-19}, 34% identity over 46% of length) to a hypothetical protein of *C. elegans* (ZK852.6).

**BG:DS06874.2**. High BLASTP scores (P = 10^{-6}, 39% identity over 94% of length) identify the product of BG:DS06874.2 as being involved in a G protein signal transduction pathway, since it is similar to the human protein GPS1 (and its rat homolog) isolated as a cDNA that suppresses gain-of-function mutations in the pheromone response pathway of *S. cerevisiae* and the RAS pathway in mammalian cells (SPAIN et al. 1996). The mammalian protein, and its *Drosophila* homolog, are also similar to the FUSCA6 protein of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, which is a negative regulator of light-mediated signal transduction (CASTLE and MEINKE 1994).

**BG:DS06874.3**. The protein predicted to be the product of BG:DS06874.3 has a PROSITE ATP/GTP-binding site motif A (P-loop) and PROSITE AAA-protein family signature. Its closest sequence match in the yeast genome is MSP1, encoding an AAA-family ATPase of the inner mitochondrial membrane presumed to be involved in protein sorting (NAKÄL et al. 1993) (P = 10^{-43}, 42% identity over 77% of its length). There are similar proteins in *C. elegans* (KO4D7.2), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (T14P8.7) and human (SKD1) and the BG:DS06874.3 protein shows 36% amino-acid sequence identity with the TER94 gene product of *D. melanogaster*, isolated as a homolog of the yeast CDC48 protein (PINTER et al. 1998). The CDC48 protein is an essential AAA-family ATPase required for membrane fusion (YPD 1998). The AAA family ATPases are a functionally diverse group of proteins, many of which are associated with the membranes of cell organelles (PATEL and LATTERICH 1998). The predicted protein of BG:DS06874.3 has a long C-terminal coiled-coil domain (PSORT prediction).

**BG:DS06874.4**, **BG:DS06874.6**. The predicted protein products of these genes are 45% identical in amino-acid sequence, and both products show significant similarities with a variety of serine proteases from organisms as different as *C. elegans* and human. These are not vital genes, since the heterozygotes between the deletions Df(2L)A72 and Df(2L)A47, both removing both of these genes, is viable (J.-M. REICHHART personal communication).

**BG:DS03431.1**. We predict that the protein product of BG:DS03431.1 is a cation-dependent amino-acid transporter. It shows 31% amino-acid identity with the *Drosophila inebriated* protein (a Na+/Cl-dependent neurotransmitter transporter (SOEHNIG et al. 1996)), and similar identities with Na+/Cl-dependent transporters from human (SLC6A6, a taurine transporter), *Manduca sexta* (KAAT1, amino-acid transporter), rat (SLC6A11, GABA transporter) and even *Methanococcus jannaschii* (MJ1319, a putative sodium-dependent transporter). As expected for a protein of this function the BG:DS03431.1 product is predicted by PSORT to have twelve transmembrane domains.

**Mst35Ba** and **Mst35Bb**. These are a tandem pair of related genes that encode protamine-like proteins (RUSSELL and KAISER 1993). They are probably not vital since Df(2L)TE35D-5/Df(2L)TE35B-9 and Df(2L)TE35B-9/Df(2L)osp29 survive but are male sterile, suggesting that one or both of these may be required for male fertility. This conclusion is tentative, because these deletions remove much more than just these two *Mst* genes, but we reserve the symbol mst(2)35B for the genetic factor(s) responsible for this sterility. These protamine-like genes correspond to BG:DS03431.2 and BG:DS03431.3 respectively.

**BG:DS0344.1**. This is a large predicted gene (about 13.5-Kb) with 11 predicted exons. Significant BLASTP matches are seen with a number of poorly characterized putative GPI-anchored membrane-bound proteins with immunoglobulin-like domains (e.g. the *D. melanogaster* *Amalgam* protein and locust lachesin (P = 10^{-5} with SP-Q26474) (KARLSTROM et al. 1993)).

**BG:DS03323.1**. The BG:DS03323.1 protein shares a region of 61% amino-acid identity (over 28% of its length) with that coded for by the strawbery-notch gene of *D. melanogaster*. We have tested deficiencies that include BG:DS03323.1 for interactions with sno alleles with negative results. This protein is also similar to hypothetical proteins from human (R31180.1, P = 10^{-27}) and *C. elegans* (F20H11.2, P = 10^{-25}) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Y1P8H12R.3, P = 10^{-26}) and to a probable methylase/helicase from the pNL1 plasmid of *Sphingomonas aromaticivorans* (orf235), itself showing 31% identity to the sno protein.

**BG:DS01219.3**. This protein shows weak similarity (29% identity over 47% of length) with the neuromusculin protein of *Drosophila*, a cell-adhesion protein, and with a fragment of the FAR-2 protein of *Gallus* (SPTRREMLB-Q90843, 32% identity over 22% of length).

**BG:DS01219.1**. This shows weak similarity to a hypothetical protein of *C. elegans* (C26B9.1, P = 10^{-17}, 31% identity over 47% of length).

**l(2)35Bb** and **l(2)35Bc**. Five lethal complementation groups were identified in the interval between osp and *Sul(H)*. Of these, l(2)35Bb is the most distal, since only it is included within Df(2L)Jfn3; l(2)35Bd is the most
proximal, since only it is included within Df(2L)Ctxel. The remaining three loci, l(2)35Be, l(2)35Bc and l(2)35Bf, were unordered between these loci.

$k11524$ is a lethal allele of l(2)35Bb; it maps, by the sequence of its insertion site, 5’ to BG:DS01291.1 (a gene prediction supported by several ESTs) and within the GENSCAN prediction BG:DS00929.16. $k08808$ is a lethal allele of l(2)35Bc. Two, of seven, induced derivatives of this element revert this lethality, three are deletions; one extends distally to include osp, as well as l(2)35Bb, l(2)35Bc, l(2)35Be and l(2)35Bf; one extends distally to include only l(2)35Bc and l(2)35Be, and the third extends proximally to include l(2)35Bc and l(2)35Bd. This establishes the gene order: l(2)35Bf, l(2)35Be, l(2)35Bc. The insertion site of $k08808$ is within the LTR of a yoyo element. Confusingly, in the DNA sequenced, there is a yoyo element within an intron of l(2)35Bc. However, $k08808$ is not an allele of this gene. We assume that in the chromosome into which $k08808$ inserted there was a yoyo element in l(2)35Bc. It is probable that l(2)35Bc corresponds to either BG:DS00929.4 or to BG:DS00929.3 (see below).

BG:DS00929.2. The protein product of BG:DS00929.2 has a PFAM ankyrin repeat pattern (PF00023, P = 5.3 x 10^{-21}) and is similar to ankyrin R of human (39% identity over 57% of length), to the D. melanogaster Ankyrin protein (47% identity over 41% of length) and similar proteins of other taxa. Ankyrins, as their name suggests, are involved in anchoring cytoskeletal proteins to the plasma membrane.

BG:DS00929.3. This protein is probably a Drosophila homolog of the transcription factor associated protein human, DR1 (61% identity over 65% of length). It shows a similar similarity with the Xenopus homolog (SPTREMBL:O13068) and significant similarity with the Saccharomyces and Arabidopsis homologs (SPTREMBL:Q92317 and SP:P49592, respectively). The DR1 protein interacts with the TATA-binding protein TBF to repress both basal and activated transcription (YEUNG et al. 1994).

BG:DS00929.4. We can make no predictions about the function of the protein of BG:DS00929.4, yet it is conserved, with 54% identity (over 77% of its length) with the hypothetical YGR024C protein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. It also shows weak similarity with $MTH972$ of Methanococcus thermautotrophicum (29% identity over 67% of length), but this too is of unknown function.

l(2)35Bd. This is a lethal locus known from six EMS induced alleles, a P-element allele (PZ10408) and an allele on the cytologically complex translocation Tp(3;2)Antp{	extasciitilde}. The latter allele may be due to a second-site mutation, as SCHWEISGUTH and POSAKONY (1992) mapped the 35B breakpoint of this translocation 12-Kb distal to Su(H), a position some 18-Kb proximal to BG:DS00929.5, the predicted gene in which PZ10408 lies. The breakpoint mapped by SCHWEISGUTH and POSAKONY (1992) must be correct, as it was the position of the fusion fragment with Antp from which they initiated the chromosome walk to Su(H). BG:DS00929.5 encodes a protein similar to the mRNA cap methyltransferases of S. cerevisiae and S. pombe (34-35% identity over 60-64% of the length of BG:DS00929.5).

BG:DS00929.6. Although only one GABA-receptor has been well studied in Drosophila (Rdl, a mutation of which results in cycloidiene resistance) there is at least one other known, Lch3 (HOSIE et al. 1997 for review) and evidence of a third from the EDGP sequence data (EG:3088.6). The predicted BG:DS00929.6 protein is 56% identical in sequence over a short domain with the rat GABA-BR1B receptor (SPTREMBL:O08621) and shows weak similarity (24-30% identity) with the human metabotropic glutamate receptor GRM8 (SP:O00222), the Fugu pheromone receptor CA12 (SPTREMBL:O73638) and the Drosophila metabotropic glutamate receptor Glu-RA (SP:P91685). PSORT predicts that the BG:DS00929.6 protein has seven transmembrane domains.

BG:DS00929.7. The BG:DS00929.7 protein is similar to fibrinogens from mammals and to a similar protein in C. elegans (SPTREMBL:Q18914). For example the identity with the human fibrinogen alpha chain precursor is 42% over 95% of the length of BG:DS00929.7. There is a similar degree of similarity (39% identity) to the Drosophila scabrous protein. The scabrous product is a secreted glycoprotein and its fibrinogen-related domain is required for activity (LEE et al. 1998).

BG:DS00929.8. The only significant similarities for the protein of this predicted gene are to the yellow proteins of D. melanogaster (SP:P09957) and D. subobscura (SPTREMBL:O02437). In both cases the similarity is 43% amino-acid identity over 67% of the length of the BG:DS00929.8 protein.

l(2)35Bg. This is a lethal locus identified by two EMS alleles, a PM hybrid dysgenesis allele and a P-element insertion, k10011. The P-element is in a very short predicted gene, BG:DS00929.9 just distal to Su(H). The protein is similar (57-74% identity) to others of unknown function in human (A-152E5), C. elegans (T20B12.7) and S. cerevisiae (YKR071C). V. MOREL and F. SCHWEISGUTH (personal communication) have shown that a 1.9-Kb deletion isolated by excision of an unmarked P-element in Su(H) does not complement lethal alleles of either Su(H) or l(2)35Bg. This lethality is rescued by a transformant carrying the transcription unit immediately 5′ to Su(H), called transcript B by SCHWEISGUTH and POSAKONY (1992); l(2)35Bg corresponds, therefore, to BG:DS00929.9.

Su(H) (l(2)35Bh). Loss of function alleles, and deletions, of Su(H) act as dominant suppressors of Hairless, while a gain-of-function allele and duplications of the wild-type gene act as dominant enhancers of H (see NASH 1965; ASHBURNER, 1982). Adult escapers of loss-of-function alleles have an extreme vg-like wing phenotype and almost no macrochaetae (ASHBURNER 1982). The gene was cloned by FURUKAWA et al. (1992) and by SCHWEISGUTH and POSAKONY (1992) and encodes a transcription factor. Notch activation by its
ligand Delta results in the translocation of the Su(H) protein from the cytoplasm to the nucleus (GUO et al. 1996) where it regulates E(spl) complex transcription (e.g., BAILEY and POSAKONY 1995). Su(H) corresponds to BG:DS00929.10.  

ck. crinkled was first identified by BRIDGES in 1930 (BRIDGES and BREHME 1944), but the original allele has been lost. New alleles were discovered by ASHBURNER et al. (1982b) (see GUBB et al. 1984), and these have a very similar phenotype to that described by BRIDGES. Mutant alleles are lethal or semi-lethal, escaper adults have stubby bristles, multiple trichomes and feathery aristae; embryos have abnormal denticles (NUSSLEIN-VOLHARD et al. 1984). The insertion of the ISING w+ rst+ TE element TE35BC interrupts BG:DS00929.11, the predicted gene immediately proximal to Su(H) where, indeed, ck deletion maps. This gene encodes an unconventional myosin (myosin VIIA) and was cloned and sequenced on this basis by D. KIEHART (personal communication; see CHEN et al. 1991). The P-element PZ07130 is inserted just 28-bp 5' to the presumed start of transcription of ck. It is, phenotypically, a weak ck allele and most (34/48) transposase-induced excisions revert this phenotype; three were stronger ck alleles and six were deletions extending either proximally to include TфиS or distally to include Su(H). Mutations in the human and murine myosin VIIA cause deafness, Usher syndrome type 1B in human (WEIL et al. 1995) and shaker-1 in mouse (GIBSON et al. 1995). It is striking that in strong shaker-1 alleles of mouse (e.g. Myo7a<k07233>) there are defects in organization of the stereocilia of the cochlea (SELF et al. 1998); the stereocilia are analogous to the epidermal cell hairs of Drosophila. A second analogous phenotype is seen in the trichomes of epicardial cells of Arabidopsis mutant for the ZWI kinesin-like protein (OPPENHEIMER et al. 1997). The ZWI protein and myosin VIIA proteins share a C-terminal MyTH4 domain (PFAM:PF00784) (CHEN et al. 1996).

TфиS (l(2)35Cf). There is only one genetically characterized gene that maps between ck and vasa. This is l(2)35Cf, known from PM hybrid dysgenic alleles that escape to give flies with a held-out wing and rough eye phenotype (ASHBURNER et al. 1990). The only gene predicted in this region is BG:DS00929.12 which encodes a RNA-polymerase II elongation factor, THиS (MATERIALS et al. 1990; OH et al. 1995; XIE and PRICE 1996). The identification of l(2)35Cf with THиS is supported by the mapping of the proximal breakpoint of Df(2L)l64 by LASKO and ASHBURNER (1988). This breakpoint maps about 15-Kb distal to the EcoRI site that is 1-Kb 3' to the 3' end of vasa; Df(2L)l64 is l(2)35Cf vasa and the breakpoint is predicted to be within BG:DS00929.12.

vasa. vasa is a maternal-effect lethal, embryos from homozygous mothers have a 'posterior' phenotype with no abdomen or pole cells (SCHUPBACH and WIESSHAUS 1986). It encodes a DEAD-box RNA-dependent ATPase which is localized to the pole plasm of oocytes and is sequestered by the pole cells of the embryo (LASKO and ASHBURNER 1988, 1990; HAY et al. 1988). The vasa protein interacts with the oskar protein and, with this and the tudor protein, is a pole granule component (BREITWIESER et al. 1996). vasa corresponds to BG:DS00929.14. When first characterized its 5' exon was missed, but was subsequently discovered (see STYHLER et al. 1998). This exon is separated by a 6.6-Kb intron from the rest of the gene and this intron includes BG:DS00929.13, named vig (vasa intronic gene) by K. EDWARDS (personal communication). Two P-elements, EP(2)0812 and k07233, map within the putative coding region of vig. Genetically, both behave as alleles of vasa, being female-sterile when heterozygous with the EMS-induced allele vasa<sup>+</sup>, for example. P. LASKO (personal communication) has discovered another gene included within vasa. This is BG:DS00929.15 and its existence was also predicted by GENSCAN. While ESTs for vig have been found none, so far, are known for this gene.

BG:DS04929.1. The protein predicted for BG:DS04929.1 only shows a low degree of similarity (22-25% identity over 15-18% of its length) with hypothetical proteins from C. elegans (F56A8.1) and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (P1030). PSORT predicts the Dro sophila protein to have seven transmembrane domains.

stc (l(2)35Cb). shuttle craft was characterized by STROUMBAKIS et al. (1996) as a protein related in sequence to the mammalian transcription factor NF-X1; in addition to cytokine-rich domains, characteristic of NF-X1, it has an RD RNA-binding domain. It corresponds to l(2)35Cb, known from five EMS induced alleles, the proximal breakpoint of In(2L)dpp<sup>122</sup> and two P-element alleles. The insertion site of one of the latter has been sequenced. Lethal alleles of l(2)35Cb die as embryos which do not hatch, due to a failure of the peristaltic movements required for hatching (STROUMBAKIS et al. 1996; TOLIAS and STROUMBAKIS 1998). The stc sequence corresponds to BG:DS04929.4. Just 5' to this sequence is a short open reading frame (BG:DS04929.3) that also has a PROSITE C2H2 type zinc-finger domain and is similar to other zinc finger proteins (e.g. 46% identity over 39% of length to human ZNF41). Curiously, the insertion site of PZ05441 (called PZ9 by STROUMBAKIS et al. 1996) is within an intron of this second open reading frame. Extensive genetic tests have confirmed the allelism of this insertion with other l(2)35Cb alleles. In addition, STROUMBAKIS et al. (1996) reverted the stc phenotype associated with PZ05441 by P-element excision. One possibility is that there is an undetected 5' exon of stc distal to BG:DS04929.3; another is that BG:DS04929.3, rather than stc, is l(2)35Cb. The former possibility is suggested since STROUMBAKIS et al. (1996) state that homozygotes for PZ05441 lack protein that reacts with an anti-STC antibody.

BG:DS03192.2. BG:DS03192.2 is predicted to encode a protein with leucine rich repeats. It has a PFAM LRR domain (PF00560, P = 9.3 x 10<sup>14</sup>) and shows significant BLASTP matches with a variety of proteins all of which have similar domains, including the Drosophila chaoptin gene.

BG:DS07295.1. We infer that the product of BG:DS07295.1 is a metal ion transporter. It shows 47% identity with the human zinc transporter ZNT-3 and 58% identity with the rat zinc transporter ZNT-2. It is also similar to the S. pombe gene product SPAC23C11.1p, implicated in zinc/cadmium resistance and the Saccharomyces
**cerevisiae** protein ZRC1p. Loss-of-function ZRC1 mutations are hypersensitive to zinc and cadmium, and to oxidative stress (KAMIZONO et al. 1989; KOBAYASHI et al. 1996).

BG:DS07295.5. The product of BG:DS07295.5 is weakly similar to a c-MYC binding protein of human (SP:Q99471), and hypothetical proteins from *C. elegans* (F35H10.6) and *Methanococcus jannaschii* (MJ0648). The BLAST scores to all of these are just at the limit of the threshold used in these analyses (P = 10^-10). BG:DS05639.1. The BG:DS05639.1 protein shows weak sequence similarities (about 20%, with BLASTP scores between P = 10^-10 and 10^-2) to several myosin heavy chain proteins, including the unc-54 protein of *C. elegans* and a non-muscle myosin of chick (SP:PI4105). PSORT predicts long coiled-coil regions in this protein.

gft (l(2)35Cd). This lethal, known from seven EMS induced, one γ-ray induced, one P-element insertion, and one Pm hybrid dysgenesis induced allele, plus one of obscure origin, has been named guftag by MISTRY (1997). Escapers have unexpanded wings and small eyes (ASHBURNER et al. 1990). MISTRY showed that l(2)35Cd alleles, or a deletion for this gene, act as dominant suppressors of the complex visible phenotype that results from the ectopic expression of the G-protein Go alpha driven by certain enhancer-trapped GAL4 elements. gft corresponds to BG:DS07851.2, as shown by both comparison with MISTRY’s sequence (H. MISTRY, personal communication) and by the sequence of the insertion site of PZ06430. The sequence is similar to a human cullin and similar proteins in several other organisms, including the cul-3 gene product of *C. elegans* (48% identity over 99% of length) and a hypothetical product of the human cDNA KIAA0617 (68% identity over 99% of length). This gene product is probably a member of the anaphase promoting complex (APC2p, KRAMER et al. 1998) and of the SCF-complex (Cdc53p, LAMMER et al. 1998), both targeting proteins into the ubiquitin-dependent degradation pathway.

BG:DS07851.3. The BG:DS07851.3 protein is probably a member of the YER057c/yigF family defined by PROSITE pattern PS01094 and PFAM domain PF01042 (P = 4.4 x 10^-5). Like other members of this family the BG:DS07851.3 protein is small (158 amino-acids); most family members are of unknown function, although the mammalian perchloric acid soluble protein, e.g. the human PSP (SP:PI2578), is described as a translational inhibitor (SCHMIDKENECHT et al. 1996).

ms(2)35Ci. BG:DS07851.10 is a weak GENSCAN prediction (score of 35) with neither ESTs nor any significant sequence matches. A P-element associated with a male-sterile mutation, ms(2)46AB (CASTILLON et al. 1993), has been rescued and its predicted sequence maps to a predicted intron of BG:DS07851.10. This is consistent with our genetic mapping of the male-sterile phenotype, which is within both Df(2L)osp18 and Df(2L)A263. It is possible that the prediction of BG:DS07851.10 is false and that the male-sterile phenotype is due to the insertion of this P-element 1-kb 5' to BG:DS07851.8. Since ms(2)46AB is clearly an inappropriate name we call this gene ms(2)35Ci.

BG:DS07851.6. The only significant protein database match of BG:DS07851.6 is to the *Drosophila Taf110* protein, a subunit of TFIID (40% amino-acid identity over 37% of its length). There are also BLASTP matches, but below the cutoff expectation we have used, to similar proteins in human and yeast.

esg (l(2)35Ce). escargot is the most frequent site of P-element insertion in this chromosome region, over 50 independent insertions have been recovered, as well as three EMS induced alleles and four alleles associated with chromosome aberrations. The P-element alleles vary in phenotype; of 56 characterized 35 are lethal or semi-lethal (as hemizygotes with esg deletions) but 19 are viable (see Table S1). Twenty of these P-element insertions have been sequenced; all map between 192-bp and 1,258-bp 5' to the start of the esg protein coding region, as did those sequenced by WHITELEY et al. (1992); there are 19 sites in this region at which P-elements have inserted. Escapers of lethal or semi-sterile alleles usually show abnormalities in abdominal differentiation, though some unusual alleles (e.g. esg472, once thought to be a different gene, dgl of ASHBURNER et al. (1990)) show a failure of the dorsal and ventral surfaces of the wing to fuse (ASHBURNER et al. 1990). esg was independently identified by three groups (WHITELEY et al. 1992, HAYASHI et al. 1993) and the identification of BG:DS07851.7 as esg is both from a comparison of this genomic sequence with previous data and by mapping the precise insertion sites of 15 different P-element alleles. esg encodes a C2H2 class zinc-finger domain protein. This protein is required for the maintenance of diploidy in imaginal disc cells; in its absence these arrest in G2 and continue to endoreplicate (HAYASHI et al. 1996). It is interesting that esg and snail show evidence of functional redundancy: not only do they cross-regulate and bind similar DNA targets, but in esg- snai- embryos some wing disc markers (e.g. vestigial) that are expressed in either single mutant are not expressed (FUSE et al. 1996; see also YAGI and HAYASHI 1997). T IP (personal communication) has suggested the name worniu for BG:DS07851.6 (worniu is Chinese for snail) which has been independently identified by K. SCHMID (personal communication).

BG:DS03023.4. This gene is predicted only on the basis of a GENSCAN score, it has neither ESTs nor significant database matches. From its position it is a good candidate for l(2)35Cg.
BG:DS03023.2. This is yet another protein whose only significant matches are to hypothetical proteins of unknown function from the sequences of *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae*. The BG:DS03023.2 protein shows 32% identity (over 89% of its length) to the *C. elegans* F31D4.2 protein and 27% identity (over 83% of its length) to the YMR027W protein of *S. cerevisiae*. From its position this predicted gene may correspond to l(2)35Ch.

snl (l(2)35Db), snail encodes a product required for mesoderm determination; mutant embryos fail to form a ventral furrow (GRAU *et al*. 1984; LEPTIN 1994, for a review). Like worniu and esg, snail encodes a C2H2-class zinc-finger domain transcription factor (BOULAY *et al*. 1987; ALBERGA *et al*. 1991) and direct sequence comparison shows that it corresponds to BG:DS01845.1.

Tim17. This gene encodes a preprotein translocase of the inner mitochondrial membrane that is highly conserved in different organisms. It was identified on our sequence by BOMER *et al*. (1996) and corresponds to BG:DS01845.2.

lace (l(2)35Dc). This is a vital gene, strong alleles are lethal and the embryos show head defects, but weak alleles, and some heteroallelic combinations, give viable adult flies with supernumerary wing veins, hence the name *lace* (ASHBURNER *et al*. 1990). It is known from over 14 EMS induced alleles, an allele associated with *TY;2B8* and six P-element insertions. The insertion site of one of the P-element alleles was sequenced and shown to be located at the 5' end of BG:DS01845.3, a gene that encodes a protein with similarity to serine palmitoyl transferases from organisms as different as yeast and human (52% amino-acid sequence identity to human serine palmitoyl transferase subunit II). We presume this to be the function of the product of *lace*.

kek3, kekkon was identified by J. DUFFY (personal communication) as being similar to *kek1* and *kek2* of MUSACCHIO and PERRIMON (1996). These genes encode transmembrane proteins with both leucine rich repeats and an immunoglobulin domain and are targets of the *Egf* signal transduction pathway (see SAPIR *et al*. 1998). *kek3* corresponds to BG:DS04862.1, predicted by PSORT to have a single transmembrane domain with an internal C-terminus.

BG:ACR4L22.1, BG:ACR4L22.8, BG:ACR4L22.2, BG:ACR4L22.3, BG:ACR4L22.4 and BG:ACR4L22.6. These six genes encode proteins of about 250 amino acids all with clear similarities to zinc metallopeptidases of the M1A2 subfamily (see BARRETT *et al*. 1998). These genes presumably evolved by duplication, since they show between 29 and 64% pair-wise sequence identities. BG:ACR4L22.2 and BG:ACR4L22.3 are the most similar, and BG:ACR4L22.4 and BG:ACR4L22.8 the most divergent, pair.

**BG:DS07108.4.** BLASTP matches with the translation of BG:DS07108.4 include a large number of extracellular proteins with leucine rich repeats. Other than the fact that this protein has three PFAM:PF00560 Leucine Rich Repeat patterns, indicative of protein-protein interactions, we can make no inference concerning its function.

**BG:DS07108.2.** This protein is probably a calcium channel subunit, since it shows 36% identity (over 30% of its length) to the human to alpha-2/delta subunit (EMBL:AF042793) and similar identities to mouse and rabbit L-type calcium channel subunits (SPREMBL:O08532 and SP:P13806). It is also similar to the *C. elegans* unc-36 protein, which has the characteristics of a calcium channel alpha-subunit.

**BG:DS07108.1 and BG:DS07108.5.** The BG:DS07108.1 protein is predicted to be a serine-type protease. It has similarities with several mammalian, worm and bacterial serine proteases, but is most similar (36% identity over 61% of its length) to the antibacterial serine protease, *Limulus* factor D, from the Japanese horseshoe crab (SPREMBL:P91817) (KAWABATA *et al*. 1986). The BG:DS07108.5 protein is similar, showing 33% identity (over 89% of length) with *Limulus* factor D. These two genes probably arose by tandem duplication, their protein sequences are 35% identical. We know that these two genes are non-vital, since the deletion heterozygote Dff(2L)75C/Dff(2L)TE35D-17, which removes both, is viable (J.-M. REICHHART personal communication). The viable P-element insertion PZ09259 maps 12-Kb 3' to BG:DS07108.3; it may possibly be an allele.

**CycE (l(2)35Dd).** This gene was identified first from embryonic lethal alleles which may escape to give flies with a small eye phenotype (ASHBURNER *et al*. 1990). It was first cloned by RICHARDSON *et al*. (1993) using 'cycin box' probes and is very similar to the G1 cyclin, cyclin E, of *S. cerevisiae*, and, indeed, the *Drosophila* gene will functionally complement *cln2 cln3* yeast (EDGAR 1994, for review). Three EMS induced alleles, nine P-element alleles, an ISING w*+* rst*+* insertion (TE35D) and the breakpoint of T(2;3)G16 are the known mutations. The insertion sites of three P-element alleles have been sequenced, and all fall at the 5' end of BG:DS07108.3, which is indeed CycE by direct sequence comparison.

BG:DS09217.1. This prediction has matching EST sequences and both GENEFINDER and GENSCAN predictions, but the only significant database match is with a hypothetical protein of *C. elegans* (ZK809.3, 36% identity over 89% of length). Its position makes it a good candidate for l(2)35Ch.

**l(2)35Df.** Four of the five known EMS induced alleles of l(2)35Df are lethal; one (l(2)35DfASP) gives viable, but female-sterile, escapers with a small bristle phenotype (ASHBURNER *et al*. 1990). In addition, the P-element insertion K14423 is a lethal allele of this locus. This P-element is inserted 13-bp from the start of the most 5'-extending cDNA of BG:DS09217.2. BG:DS09217.2 encodes a protein similar to the SKIDW helicase of human and the MTR4 ATP-dependent DEIH-motif RNA helicase of *S. cerevisiae*. The greatest similarity (62% identity
over 87% of length) is to the translation (SwissProt:P42285) of a human EST sequence (KIAA0052, EMBL:D29641). M. TAYLOR and D. ARAGNOL (personal communication) have found that the BG:DS09217.2 transcript is substantially reduced in l(2)35Df(3R)2, suggesting that this predicted gene is indeed l(2)35Df.

Gli (l(2)35Dg). Gliotactin encodes a transmembrane spanning protein with a serine esterase-like motif (AULD et al. 1995). All known EMS induced alleles are embryonic lethal (ASHBURNER et al. 1990). By comparison of the genomic sequence with that published by AULD et al. (1995), Gli is BG:DS09217.3. AULD et al. (1995) generated several null alleles by imprecise P-element excision; they die as late embryos which are morphologically normal. They are, however, paralysed and the electrophysiological data suggest that the hemolymph-nerve barrier has broken down, the glial cells being permeable to K+ ions.

BG:DS09217.4. The BG:DS09217.4 protein is similar (24-40% identities) to hypothetical proteins from human (the KIAA0547 cDNA), C. elegans (B0285r.4), S. cerevisiae (D9461.21), S. pombe (SPBC19C7.08c) and A. thaliana (T1123.16). Despite this conservation nothing can be inferred about the function of BG:DS09217.4.

l(2)35Ea. This lethal complementation group was known from two alleles, one EMS induced, the other probably radiation induced. The P-element PZ05271 is a viable and fertile insertion within the first exon of BG:DS09217.5, which is predicted to encode a C2H2-type zinc finger protein (J. GATES and C. THUMMEL personal communication). Although this insertion and the two classical alleles complement, both give adults with crippled legs and small wings when heterozygous with a deletion. J. GATES (personal communication) recovered a transposase induced male recombinant of PZ05271. This is a lethal allele of l(2)35Ea, strongly suggesting that this gene is BG:DS09217.5. If so, then this means that BG:DS09217.4 and BG:DS09217.6 probably correspond to l(2)35De and l(2)35Dh, but the available data cannot determine which is which.

BG:DS09217.6. The BG:DS09217.6 protein shows weak identities (25% over 46% of its length) with the human and murine 86-Kd subunit of ATP-dependent DNA helicase II (SP:P13010 and SP:P27641). This single-stranded DNA helicase is a heterodimer and, with KU70, binds DNA ends as part of the DNA-dependent protein kinase complex involved in non-homologous DNA end-joining (CIRITCLLOW and JACKSON 1998).

BG:DS02252.3. This protein shows only weak similarities, with the IMH1 protein of S. cerevisiae (20% identity over 36% of length) and with a human homolog of the yeast Spc98 protein (P = 10^-8 with SPTREMBL:O60852), a protein that is associated with centrosomal gamma-tubulin (MURPHY et al. 1998).

BG:DS02252.2. The BG:DS02252.2 protein matches at 22-28% identity over its C-terminal two-thirds several tektins, particularly the C1 tektin of the sea urchin Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (BLASTP, P = 10^-8). Tektins are filamentous proteins that form heteropolymeric protofilaments of flagellar microtubules (NORRANDER et al. 1996). In the BG:DS02252.2 protein the RPNVELCRD motif is present as RPNVENCRD. At lower statistical significance the BG:DS02252.2 protein is similar to many myosin heavy chain proteins, including the Drosophila zipper protein (22% amino-acid identity over 61% of length), like these protein has a long coiled-coil domain (predicted by PSORT).

BG:DS00365.1. The BG:DS00365.1 protein matches sequences of aminopeptidase N from taxa as different as Lactococcus and Felix silvestris. The identities to the mammalian enzymes are 33-34% over 75-80% of the length of BG:DS00365.1 (e.g. to the human ANEP protein, SP:P15144). Aminopeptidase N enzymes are membrane bound zinc metalloproteases and PSORT predicts an N-terminal signal sequence for the BG:DS00365.1 protein.

BG:DS00365.2. The BG:DS00365.2 protein has a PROSITE Alpha-2-macroglobulin family thiolester region signature and belongs to the PFAM:PF00207 Alpha-2-macroglobulin family (P = 1.5 x 10^-9). It shows about 33% sequence identity with alpha-2 macroglobulin of mammals and 28% identity (over 55% of its length) with the Limulus alpha-2 macroglobulin. Whether or not these similarities indicate that BG:DS00365.2 is a protease inhibitor needs to be determined by experiment. In Limulus the protein is restricted in its distribution to hemocytes (IWAKI et al. 1996). TBLASTN searches of all available Drosophila sequence data with the human alpha-2 macroglobulin sequence identifies three further genes in this family - one is Mcr, mapping to 28DE (T. CROWLEY personal communication to FlyBase), and the other two are on BDGP P1 clones mapping to 28BC (DS01509) and 37F (DS04891) respectively (J.-M. REICHHART personal communication).

BG:DS00365.3. Sequence similarities of the order of 26-32% with serine carboxypeptidases from the Aedes mosquito (SP:P42660), Arabidopsis thaliana (SP:P32826) and the so-called lysosomal protective protein of human and mouse (e.g. SP:P10619) (a S10 family peptidase), suggest that the product of this gene is a serine carboxypeptidase.

beat-B and beat-C. These genes were identified by T. PIPES and C. GOODMAN by virtue of their sequence similarity with beat. cDNA sequences, determined by PIPES (personal communication), correspond to BG:DS00365.4 and BG:DS00913.1 respectively, both predicted by GENSCAN. The proteins predicted for these genes are similar to that of beat - 38% identity in the case of beat-B, 30% (over a shorter common region) in the case of beat-C. All three genes are within 200-Kb, and have similar intron/exon structures. PIPES (personal communication) has shown that beat-C is expressed in the embryonic pole cells and is removed by Df(2L)R5. These data suggest that it might correspond to fs(2)1335Ed, an inferred locus. beat-C is not vital, since deletions that overlap this gene (e.g. Df(2L)TE35D-19/Df(2L)R5) are viable when heterozygous (T. PIPES and D. FAMBROUGH personal communication).
BG:DS07486.3. This is the third gene in this region predicted to encode a serine peptidase with similarity to Limulus factor D. In the case of BG:DS07486.3 the similarity is 33% identity over 29% of its length, less than for either BG:DS07108.1 or BG:DS07108.5. BG:DS07486.3 is also similar, to about the same extent, to serine proteases of a variety of organisms from Streptomyces griseus to human.

BG:DS07486.2. This is a gene predicted to encode a leucine rich repeat protein (PFAM:PF00560, P = 1.7 x 10^{-13}). It shows a quite strong match to an outer arm dynein light chain of the sea urchin 2 of Anthocidaris crassipina (P = 10^{-33}, 43% identity over entire length) and a weaker match to a hypothetical LRR protein of C. elegans (K1D2.1).

Bicc. Bicaudal C has, when mutant, a dominant maternal effect semi-lethal phenotype (NUSSLEIN-VOLHARD et al 1982; MOHLER and WIESCHAUS 1986). Bicc activity is required for both the migration of the somatic follicle cells over the anterior oocyte and for the determination of the anterior-posterior polarity of the oocyte itself (MAHONE et al. 1995). This gene has been sequenced by MAHONE et al. (1995) and corresponds to BG:DS00913.2. The product of Bicc is a KH-domain protein that may be RNA-binding.

beat. Despite being a vital gene, no point alleles of beat were recovered in the Cambridge screens. Two chromosome aberrations, In(2L)C163.41 and In(2L)dpp^sa were found to be associated with a semi-lethality in the region where beat is now known to map, but the genetic data were at that time not consistent enough for the identification of a gene (ASHBURNER et al. 1990) (more recent data, with a larger deletion set, shows that both of these inversions are leaky alleles of beat and are, in fact, broken within beat). By direct sequence comparison beat corresponds to BG:DS00913.2. beat is required for motoneuron pathfinding; in mutant embryos the intersegmental nerve fails to find its target muscles (VÁN VÁCTOR et al. 1993). HOLMES et al. (1998) recovered an EMS-induced mutation disrupting Bolwig's organ, but not affecting the motoneurons, of larvae. This mutation, tric, is almost certainly an allele of beat (HOLMES and HEILIG 1998). beat encodes a secreted protein and FAMBROUGH and GOODMAN (1996) suggest that this may function as an anti-adhesive during nerve fasciculation, since the mutant phenotype can be partly suppressed by mutations in Fasciclin 2 and connectin (TESSIER-LAVIGNE and GOODMAN 1996, for review).

BG:DS04095.2. The only similarities seen with the protein predicted from BG:DS04095.2 are to the predicted protein from the D. melanogaster ano-fc29 gene (SPTREMBL:O16052, 32% identity over 83% of length) and its D. yakuba homolog.

Ca-a1D (l(2)35Fa). The four known alleles of l(2)35Fa defined a lethal gene; strong alleles are embryonic lethal, but heterozygotes for two weak alleles may eclose, with a held-out wing phenotype (ASHBURNER et al. 1990; see also EBERL et al. 1998). ZHENG et al. (1995) sequenced a gene coding for an a1 subunit of a calcium channel protein. This is BG:DS02795.1, and EBERL et al. (1998) have shown that the l(2)35Fa alleles are mutant for this protein. This is the most complex gene in this region, with 31 predicted exons. A gene 45-Kb proximal to Ca-a1D (BG:DS07473.1) also has some sequence similarity to L-type calcium channel subunits.

PRL-1. The expected product of BG:DS07473.3 matches prenylated protein tyrosine phosphatases from organisms as different as C. elegans and human; its C-terminus (CSVQ) suggests that it may be geranyl geranylated. The sequence similarities are high, e.g. 59% amino-acid sequence identity (over 92% of length) to the human PRL-1 (SPTREMBL:O00648) and 73% identity to its C. elegans homolog (T1D2.2, SPTREMBL:Q22582). PRL-1 was identified from a partial cDNA sequence by ZHENG et al. (1998). The P-elements k09834, PZ03264 and EP(2)0311 are inserted within an intron and have no observable phenotypic effect. All 49 transposase-induced excisions of PZ03264 are viable, but two of (145) excisions of k09834 are lethal. One is deleted for twe, the other for twe and crp. These data suggest that PRL-1 is not a vital gene.

twe. twine is a maternal effect lethal, but is also required for male fertility. These phenotypes are separable, as two newly characterized P-element alleles, k08310 and EP(2)0613, are male sterile but female fertile when heterozygous with twe^sh. tweine encodes a homolog of the S. pombe CDC25 protein tyrosine phosphatase, indeed it was first identified by a cDNA that could rescue the ddc25-22 mutation of this yeast (JIMENEZ et al. 1990). As shown by direct sequence comparison, it is BG:DS02740.1. Function of twe is required for both oogenesis and male meiosis, and there is genetic evidence that twe is a vital gene, since Df(2L)le18/Df(2L)RN2 and Ts(2L;4)LT35B-101 + Ts(2R;4R)DTD22/Df(2L)RN2 are lethal; twe is the only gene in the 4-Kb overlap between Df(2L)le18 and Df(2L)RN2 (the latter is broken within twe, as is T(2;4)DTD22). A 10-Kb transgene from L. ALPHEY (carried on Pltwe^10.0), however, rescues the sterility of twe alleles, but not the lethality of Df(2L)le18/Df(2L)RN2, whereas a large duplication (P(2;3)osp3) rescues both the sterility and lethality of twe alleles.

BG:DS02740.2. The BG:DS02740.2 protein is a member of the WD-40 repeat protein family (NEER et al. 1994) (PFAM:PF00400, P = 1.2 x 10^{-32}) characteristic of beta-subunit of G proteins but also found in a number of other proteins. There are three WD-40 repeats in the N-terminal one-third of this protein. The most similar protein is the hypothetical protein of C. elegans, F33G12.2 (SPTREMBL:Q19986, 35% sequence identity over 63% of residues).

crp (l(2)35Fd). l(2)35Fd is a P-element insertion hot-spot, 21 independent alleles are known, but only two EMS induced alleles. One EMS allele (crp^nosus) escapes to give adults with a pleiotropic phenotype (rough,
small, eyes; held-out and narrow, pointed, wings; malformed legs) (ASHBURNER et al. 1990). The P-element alleles escape when heterozygous with this EMS allele (with a narrow, pointed, wing phenotype) but rarely when heterozygous with cry" deletions. Function of this gene has been shown to be required for tracheal branching by CHIu and KRASNOW (1997), and they have named it cropped for this reason. It is BG:DS02740.3, a 22-Kb gene. The gene structure prediction based on a cDNA sequence comparison with the genomic DNA indicated that two of the three P-element sites that were sequenced (PZ00232 and k07829) are 16-Kb apart, on either side of the long intron. This gene encodes a Drosophila homologue of the human AP4 transcription factor (BLASTP, P = 10^{-3}; SP:Q01664). There is, in the DNA sequenced, a Su(Ste)-like repetitive sequence in the long intron of this gene; the insertion EP(2)0721 in this sequence is not lethal.

BG:DS02740.4. BG:DS02740.4 encodes a predicted protein with 30% sequence identity (over 54% of its length) to the human protein kinase A anchoring protein. It is less strongly similar to a hypothetical protein from C. elegans (B0336.4, SPTREMBL:Q10955). Like the human protein kinase A anchoring protein, the BG:DS02740.4 protein has a PFAM:PF00615 Regulator of G protein signalling domain (P = 3.7 x 10^{-17}), characteristic of GTPase-activating proteins that interact with the alpha-subunit of G proteins (De Vries et al., 1995). Protein kinase A anchoring protein interacts with the RII subunits of cyclic AMP dependent kinase (protein kinase A), affecting its subcellular localization (PAWSON and SCOTT 1997 for review).

l(2)35Fb. This locus is known only from one spontaneous and one EMS induced allele. The lethal period is late and there are many adult escapers. Transformation rescue experiments by A. WILLINGHAM (personal communication) show that it corresponds to BG:DS02740.6, which encodes a cytochrome P450. Its closest mammalian gene products are the phenobarbitol-inducible cytochrome P450s CYP2B6 of human and CYP2B4 of rabbit (32% sequence identity). Alleles of this locus have also been recovered as mecanosensory defects in C. ZUKER’s laboratory (personal communication). There are over 20 genes encoding cytochrome-P450s now known in Drosophila; this is the first with a clear mutant phenotype.

**heixuedian** (l(2)35Fc). Two P-element alleles in this gene, previously known from two EMS induced alleles, have been rescued and the sequences of their insertion sites determined; transposase-induced loss of the P-elements reverted the lethal phenotype (N. WAKABAYASHI-ITO personal communication). They map to BG:DS02740.7, coding for a putative transmembrane protein (PSORT prediction). heix is expressed in the hemocyte/macrophage cell lineage. Mutant larvae show an overproliferation of hemocytes and accumulate melanoic ‘tumors’ (L. HONG and G.M. RUBIN, unpublished data). The only sequence similarity seen with the conceptual heix protein sequence is to one described as a probable 1,4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoate octaprenyltransferase of Bacillus subtilis (SP:P39582; P = 10^{-31}, 31% sequence identity over 74% of length). This protein is also matched by some mouse EST sequences (e.g. EMBL:AA000881, EMBL:AA087043).

BG:DS02740.8. This is a C2H2 zinc finger domain protein and shows significant BLASTP matches with several proteins of this family, most significantly with the Zfp35 protein of mouse (SP:P15620, 38% amino-acid sequence identity over 46% of length).

BG:DS02740.9. BG:DS02740.9 shows 53% amino-acid sequence identity (over 95% of its length) to human and rodent glial maturation factor beta (SP:P17774, SP:P17774). The Drosophila protein has a PFAM:PF00241 domain characteristic of cofilin/tropomyosin-type actin-binding proteins (P = 3.1 x 10^{-17}), as do the GMF proteins. GMF was identified as a brain protein. Its precise function is not known, but it appears to play a role in signal transduction since, when phosphorylated, it inhibits the ERK1/ERK2 family of MAP kinases and enhances the activity of the p38 MAP kinase. There is also evidence that it forms a complex with the p38 MAP kinase (LIM and ZAHEER 1996).

**anon-35Fa**. This gene was named by FlyBase for the region encoding transcript III near cornichon (ROTH et al. 1995). From a comparison of the sequence and gene prediction data with the map of the cornichon region (Figure 6 of ROTH et al. 1995) it is clear that this is BG:DS02740.11, encoding a protein similar to one of unknown function in C. elegans (ZK418.5, SP:Q23483, 44% identity over 78% of length) and to a human 7-pass transmembrane protein (SP:O75790, 50% identity over 86% of length). PSORT predicts the presence of five transmembrane domains in the anon-35Fa protein.

**Sed5**. Sed5 encodes a putative syntaxin family vesicle targeting protein involved in ER - Golgi transport, homologous to the SED5 protein of Saccharomyces, and was characterized by BANFIELD et al. (1994) from DNA corresponding to transcript II of ROTH et al. (1995) and DAWSON et al. (1995). The single EMS allele is a larval/pupal lethal (ASHBURNER et al. 1990). Sed5 is the predicted gene BG:DS02740.12, as shown by direct comparison with the published sequence. DAWSON et al. (1995) mapped the distal limit of Df(2L)H60-3, a l(2)35Ff cni" fzy" deletion and I. DAWSON (quoted in ROTH et al. 1995) mapped the distal end of the l(2)35Ff cni" fzy" deletion Df(2L)III118. These data support the identification of Sed5 with BG:DS02740.12.

cni. cornichon (ASHBURNER et al. 1990) is a maternal effect lethal required for dorsal-ventral signalling in the germ-line (ROTH et al. 1995). In cni" the oocyte shows abnormal anterior-posterior polarity, a phenotype similar to that seen in mutant gcy1 embryos (Gonzalez-Reyes et al. 1995). By comparison with the published sequence it corresponds to BG:DS02740.13. ROTH et al. (1995) suggest that the cni protein is required for signal transduction in the Egfr pathway, at least during oogenesis (see also Gonzalez-Reyes et al. 1995). Very similar proteins, of unknown function, are known from mouse (e.g. SPTREMBL:O35372, 56% identity over entire length, see HWANG et al. 1999) and C. elegans genomic sequence (T09E8.3,
SPTREMBL:Q22361, 49% identity over 93% of length). A protein related in sequence has been identified in S. cerevisiae as the ER-vesicle protein Erv14p, thought to be needed for the export of particular cargos from the ER (POWERS and BARLOWE 1998). It is fascinating that erv14 yeast cells show a polarity defect, a haploid-specific defect in the site of bud formation.

fzy, fizzy (NUSSLEIN-VOLHARD et al. 1984) is a vital gene known from several EMS alleles, one P-element allele and one X-ray induced allele. Escapers carrying weak alleles are female sterile. Lethal embryos show metaphase arrest (DAWSON et al. 1993) and fizzy is required for the normal mitotic degradation of cyclin A and cyclin E (DAWSON et al. 1995; SIGRIST et al. 1995). It is a WD-40 repeat family protein that is a homolog of the S. cerevisiae CDC20, although the fly gene cannot functionally rescue CDC20 mutations (DAWSON et al. 1995). It is BG:DS02740.14. There are clear homologs in C. elegans (ZK1307.6), Xenopus, rodents and humans (e.g. 58% sequence identity over 71% of length to SPTREMBL:Q12834) (WEINSTEIN et al. 1994).

cact. Embryos from homozygous cactus mothers have a ventralised phenotype (SCHUPBACH and WIESCHAUS 1989), known to be due to the failure to restrict the dorsal protein from dorsal nuclei (ROTH et al. 1989). cactus codes for the Drosophila equivalent of IxB (GEISLER et al. 1992); it is BG:DS02740.15. The dorsal protein is a homolog of NFkB. A large number of both EMS and P-element alleles are known, the result of site-specific screens by ROTH et al. (1991).

anon-35F/36A. This gene was named by FlyBase for a 1.2-Kb transcript immediately 3' to cactus (GEISLER et al. 1992, figure 2). It is BG:DS02740.16, which encodes a protein similar to the product of the NIF3 gene of S. cerevisiae (SP:53081, 39% identity over 80% of length) about which little is known. The viable and fertile P-element insertion k17003 may be an allele of this gene; it is inserted 1185-bp upstream of the putative transcript.

l(2)35Fe. A vital gene known only from a single EMS allele (which is a larval/pupal lethal) (ASHBURNER et al. 1990) and a single P-element insertion. The insertion site of the latter was sequenced after plasmid rescue and maps to the 5' end of BG:DS02740.17, encoding a protein similar to the bacterial 50S ribosomal subunit protein, a protein of unknown function from C. elegans (T23B12.1, SPTREMBL:O17005, 46% identity over 73% of length) and the translations of several mouse and human EST sequences. The similarity with bacterial 40S ribosomal proteins (e.g. 37% identity over 66% of length) is related to Bacillus stearothermophilus, SP:P28601 and chloroplast L4 ribosomal proteins (e.g. 39% identity over 56% of length). A protein related in sequence has been identified in Bacillus stearothermophilus, SP:P28601 and chloroplast L4 ribosomal proteins (e.g. 39% identity over 56% of length to the chloroplast L4 of Odontella sinensis, SP:P49546) indicate the function of this gene is to encode a mitochondrial ribosomal protein. This inference is supported by a strong PSORT prediction for mitochondrial localization.

chif. Females homozygous for some mutant chifon alleles lay eggs with a fragile chorion that are not fertilized; other alleles are zygotic lethals (T. SCHUPBACH, quoted in LINDSLEY and ZIMM 1992; ASHBURNER et al. 1990). It has been independently cloned and characterized by G. LANDIS and J. TOWER (personal communication) and corresponds to BG:DS09218.2. The P-element k04216 is a female-fertile insertion in the first intron of chif. Some induced excisions (4/149) of this element are female-sterile when heterozygous with chif deletions. The only protein sequence similarities seen with the chif protein are limited to two short regions, of 45 and 38 amino-acids, with the rad51 protein of S. pombe (SPTREMBL:O59836).

BG:DS09218.4. This gene encodes a protein disulphide isomerase, as judged by 52% amino-acid sequence identity (over 93% of its length) with the human protein (SP:Q15084) and similarly significant matches to homologs from cow, rat, C. elegans and S. cerevisiae. PDI is an enzyme of the luminal endoplasmic reticulum required for the folding of proteins that contain disulfide bridges. Like other PDIs the Drosophila protein has a PROSITE Thioredoxin family active site and a PFAM:PF00885 Thioredoxin pattern (P = 1.7 x 10^{-9}). This is the second protein disulfide isomerase to be discovered in Drosophila. The other maps to chromosome arm 3L (McKRAY et al. 1995) and is only 17% identical in protein sequence to BG:DS09218.4. Both proteins have the C-terminus KDEL, indicative of retention in the endoplasmic reticulum (MUNRO and PELHAM 1987).

BG:DS09218.5. The only significant BLASTP match to the BG:DS09218.5 protein is to the hypothetical protein H10912 of Haemophilus influenzae (29% sequence identity over 39% of length).

BG:DS02780.1. This is another protein characterized by leucine rich repeats. Like BG:DS07108.4 it shows BLASTP matches to a number of extracellular proteins.

Idgfl1, Idgfl2 and Idgfl3. These three genes are contiguous within 7.7-kb and encode proteins 51-55% identical in sequence. They all show sequence similarities with chitinases, but have been identified by KAWAMURA et al. (1999) as coding for imaginal disc growth factors. They are secreted into the medium by cultured imaginal disc cells and will promote imaginal disc growth. In larvae they are highly expressed in the fat body. They correspond to BG:DS02780.5, BG:DS02780.4 and BG:DS02780.2.

dac (l(2)36Ae). dachshund is a vital gene, although some mutant alleles escape to produce flies with rough eyes and crippled legs (hence its name). Alleles of dac were also identified as dominant suppressors of the hypermorphic mutation of the EGF-receptor, Egr Ellipse (MARDON et al. 1994). Nine EMS and a single P-element allele are known. By comparison with the published sequence (MARDON et al. 1994) it corresponds to BG:DS02780.3, and is the most proximal gene in the region sequenced (in fact our sequence only includes the 3' end of this gene). dac encodes a nuclear protein (perhaps a transcription factor) and expression driven by dpp:GAL4
induces the development of ectopic eyes, perhaps by normally acting as a target for the eyeless PAX6 transcription factor. This interpretation is complicated by the fact that ectopic dac can also induce ey expression (SHEN and MARDON 1997).
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# TABLE S1.

P–element insertions in the Adh region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P–element:</th>
<th>Gene:</th>
<th>Cytology:</th>
<th>Phenotype:</th>
<th>Reverted(2)</th>
<th>Accession number:(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k10017</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C1–34C3</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{EP}0585</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C2–34C3</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k06917</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C3–34C5</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AQ026440 [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{PZ}r251</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34E4–34E5</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AQ024952 [7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{PZ}0550</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34E4–34E5</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{PZ}J04207</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34F1–34F2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k08107</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34F1–34F2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k04605</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34F1–34F2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{PZ}01010</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–35A2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AQ026043 [9, 10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{PZ}rJ819</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C1–34C2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AQ025634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k05218</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C4–34C5</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k09205</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C4–34C5</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k09245</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C4–34C5</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{PZ}rJ14414</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34C4–34C5</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k08103</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k05605</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{PZ}03382</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k07245</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lArB}smi35A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k10028</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k05050</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k11807</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k12007</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k14701</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k12805</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{lacW}k05005</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{EP}2171</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P{EP}0965</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34D1–34D2</td>
<td>nv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

71
PlacWk02514  CycE  35D3–35D4  v  –  –
PlacWk02602  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  AQ025712
PlacWk05007  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  AQ073301
PlacWk09109  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  –
P{PZ}01672  CycE  –  fs  –  –
P{PZ}05206  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  G00412
P{PZ}05277  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  –
P{PZ}10427  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  –
PlacWk14423  l(2)35Df  35D5–35D7  l  v  G01316
P{PZ}01672  CycE  –  fs  –  –
P{PZ}05206  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  AQ025882
P{PZ}05277  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  –
P{PZ}10427  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  –
P{PZ}10427  CycE  –  fs  –  –
P{PZ}05206  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  AQ073609
P{PZ}05277  CycE  35D3–35D4  l  v  –
P{PZ}05277  CycE  –  fs  –  –
P{PZ}05277  CycE  –  fs  –  –
P{PZ}05277  CycE  –  fs  –  –

1. Phenotypes of the insertion heterozygous with a deletion of the region. v: visible; l: lethal; sl: semi–lethal; ms: male–sterile; fs: female–sterile; nv: no obvious phenotype.
2. A + indicates that the mutant phenotype has been reverted by P–element transposase induced excision; an l indicates that a lethal, visible or sterile derivative has been generated by P–element mobilization; a v indicates that the line has been verified genetically by non–complementation with other P–element lines in, or deletions of, the region predicted from cytological in situ data or sequencing. 3. DDBJ/EMBL/GENBANK Database Accession Number of the sequence flanking the P–element insertion site. Secondary sites may be due either to pre–existing mutations or to “hit–and–run” P–element induced events.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>symbol</th>
<th>alleles(1)</th>
<th>EST(2)</th>
<th>cDNA(3)</th>
<th>prediction(4)</th>
<th>BLAST(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B4 P</td>
<td>AA263884 (2)</td>
<td>AF022364</td>
<td></td>
<td>48,153</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: BACR48E02.1 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21,-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: BACR48E02.2 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kgz P</td>
<td>AA201945 (3)</td>
<td>U60591</td>
<td></td>
<td>23,54</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DRSO6601.1 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77,286</td>
<td>HRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: BACR48E02.4 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-101</td>
<td>HDRWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01368.1 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,68</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS08249.1 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36,139</td>
<td>HDRWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS08249.2 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,163</td>
<td>HRWYO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS08249.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,91</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS08249.4 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38,97</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS08249.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00797.1 P</td>
<td>AA699136 (3)</td>
<td>LD32761*</td>
<td>59,185</td>
<td>HWYA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00797.2 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39,77</td>
<td>YO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p38b</td>
<td>AA567041 (5)</td>
<td>GM01004*</td>
<td>71,173</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00797.4 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,69</td>
<td>WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00797.5 -</td>
<td>AA140924 (2)</td>
<td>CK01017*; LD33192*</td>
<td>23,54</td>
<td>HRW</td>
<td>87,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00797.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71,170</td>
<td>WO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00797.7</td>
<td>AA391258 (3)</td>
<td>LD29171*</td>
<td>50,91</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.1</td>
<td>AI110179 (6)</td>
<td>GH09688*</td>
<td>56,122</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55,54</td>
<td>HRWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44,99</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bg</td>
<td>AA699258 (2)</td>
<td>U01239</td>
<td>109,259</td>
<td>HRWY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tamas</td>
<td>AA263336 (3)</td>
<td>U60298; U62547</td>
<td>143,337</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sop2</td>
<td>AA140648 (1)</td>
<td>pers. comm.</td>
<td>75,199</td>
<td>HRWY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orc5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>59,166</td>
<td>HRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPolB</td>
<td>AA695573 (1)</td>
<td>U94702</td>
<td>26,86</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpl13P P</td>
<td>AA110135 (3)</td>
<td>LD09978*</td>
<td>53,161</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.11 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47,98</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.12</td>
<td>AI257133 (3)</td>
<td>LP05416*</td>
<td>50,113</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.13 -</td>
<td>AI257386 (1)</td>
<td>LP05733*</td>
<td>65,145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.14</td>
<td>AI257386 (1)</td>
<td>LP05733*</td>
<td>65,145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00941.15</td>
<td>AI260810 (1)</td>
<td>LP04791*</td>
<td>32,-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS08220.1 P</td>
<td>AA390942 (2)</td>
<td>LD11783*</td>
<td>127,298</td>
<td>HRDWYAO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anor-34Ea</td>
<td>AA202382 (6)</td>
<td>LD02558*</td>
<td>175,505</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ance</td>
<td>AA392405 (6)</td>
<td>U25344</td>
<td>107,296</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acup</td>
<td>AA392405 (6)</td>
<td>U25344</td>
<td>20,296</td>
<td>HRO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69,184</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.3</td>
<td>AA697312 (1)</td>
<td>HL02234*</td>
<td>66,145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35,201</td>
<td>HRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.12</td>
<td>AI108572 (2)</td>
<td>GH07762*</td>
<td>32,201</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.7</td>
<td>AA567553 (1)</td>
<td>HL01444*</td>
<td>67,100</td>
<td>HRDWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.8</td>
<td>AA140891 (2)</td>
<td>CK00536*; CK00194*</td>
<td>62,128</td>
<td>HRDWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>U60298; U62547</td>
<td>30,85</td>
<td>HRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.10</td>
<td>AI257173 (1)</td>
<td>LP05465*</td>
<td>21,120</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91,54</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS00180.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91,56</td>
<td>HRWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rk</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>pers. comm.</td>
<td>87,207</td>
<td>HRDWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01514.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>tscan,69</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01514.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01514.2 P</td>
<td>AA391612 (8)</td>
<td>pers. comm; LD10778*</td>
<td>93,230</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(2)4Fe</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37,84</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs889.1</td>
<td>AA949294 (1)</td>
<td>pers. comm.</td>
<td>87,252</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs889.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>HRDWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs889.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,106</td>
<td>HRDWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs889.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>HRDWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs889.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>HRDWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs1759.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77,192</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs1759.2</td>
<td>AA697929 (1)</td>
<td>HL03474*</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01523.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112,230</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01523.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153,510</td>
<td>HRDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi35A P</td>
<td>AA141561 (8)</td>
<td>LD10161*</td>
<td>48,217</td>
<td>HRDWYAO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ntb P</td>
<td>AA140697; AA140696 (8)</td>
<td>AF135118; CK0390*; CK02229*</td>
<td>150,565</td>
<td>HRDWO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DSOs3792.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-109</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01068.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100,397</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG: DS01068.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GH05919*</td>
<td>172,487</td>
<td>HRDW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE S2.
Genes, known and predicted, that have been determined on the sequence of the Adh region. All genes are protein coding, unless indicated tscan in the prediction column, these are tRNAs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Identity</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rab14</td>
<td>AA202923</td>
<td>Rab14 protein</td>
<td>pers. comm., LD24449*</td>
<td>107,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Aa</td>
<td>AA941044</td>
<td>l(2)35Aa protein</td>
<td>pers. comm., LD24449*</td>
<td>107,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppk</td>
<td>AA979275</td>
<td>ppk protein</td>
<td>U17893</td>
<td>83,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eB</td>
<td>AA696574</td>
<td>eB protein</td>
<td>L14009</td>
<td>88,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dA</td>
<td>AA695692</td>
<td>dA protein</td>
<td>X98338</td>
<td>16,14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adh</td>
<td>AA567713</td>
<td>Adh protein</td>
<td>J01066</td>
<td>-38,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhr</td>
<td>AA695692</td>
<td>Adhr protein</td>
<td>X98338</td>
<td>16,14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>osp</td>
<td>AA439528</td>
<td>osp protein</td>
<td>Z0030; LD14119*; LD15891*</td>
<td>94,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhtr</td>
<td>AA441153</td>
<td>Adhtr protein</td>
<td>LD16050*</td>
<td>40,53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mst35Ba</td>
<td>AA441133</td>
<td>Mst35Ba protein</td>
<td>LD6050*</td>
<td>40,53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mst35Bb</td>
<td>AA441133</td>
<td>Mst35Bb protein</td>
<td>LD6050*</td>
<td>40,53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su(H)</td>
<td>AA539138</td>
<td>Su(H) protein</td>
<td>M94383; X58393</td>
<td>80,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TjIS</td>
<td>AA391840</td>
<td>TjIS protein</td>
<td>pers. comm., Z53670</td>
<td>69,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vig</td>
<td>AA263918</td>
<td>vig protein</td>
<td>pers. comm., LD07162*</td>
<td>81,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stc</td>
<td>AA390501</td>
<td>stc protein</td>
<td>U09306</td>
<td>114,461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Bd</td>
<td>AA391413</td>
<td>l(2)35Bd protein</td>
<td>LD09819*; LD22017*</td>
<td>49,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Bg</td>
<td>AA696894</td>
<td>l(2)35Bg protein</td>
<td>M94383; X58393</td>
<td>80,188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table includes various genes with their corresponding accession numbers, descriptions, identities, and coverage values. The column headers are Gene, Accession, Description, Identity, and Coverage.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gift</td>
<td>AA391453</td>
<td>pers. comm.; LD10516*</td>
<td>79,349</td>
<td>HDWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS07851.3</td>
<td>AA567164 (5)</td>
<td>GM01181*</td>
<td>22,-</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS07851.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22,65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS07851.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22,59</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg(2)35Ci</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS07851.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,60</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>egg</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>M83207</td>
<td>65,158</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-193</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-193</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-193</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-193</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Fb</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>AA539354 (1)</td>
<td>LD17449*</td>
<td>67,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,127</td>
<td>WY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-193</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)35Fb</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>AA539354 (1)</td>
<td>LD17449*</td>
<td>67,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,127</td>
<td>WY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS03023.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73,147</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-193</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The data includes various accessions and locations, with some entries marked as pers. comm. (personal communication) and various comments related to location and type. The table may represent gene expression or other genetic information, with specific accessions and locations indicating their relevance in different studies or contexts.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Accession Numbers</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chif</td>
<td>AA439734 (7)</td>
<td>pers. comm.</td>
<td>44,570</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS09218.3</td>
<td>AA392115 (3)</td>
<td>LD1247*</td>
<td>39.-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS09218.4</td>
<td>AA246605 (18)</td>
<td>LD05503*</td>
<td>86,179</td>
<td>HRDWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS09218.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22,78</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG:DS02780.1</td>
<td>AA141295 (1)</td>
<td>CK01518*</td>
<td>38,125</td>
<td>HRDWAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idgf1</td>
<td>AA735713 (5)</td>
<td>GM09616*</td>
<td>31,81</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idgf2</td>
<td>AA134980 (11)</td>
<td>GH12581*</td>
<td>45,101</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idgf3</td>
<td>AA140749 (5)</td>
<td>CK00436*</td>
<td>45,125</td>
<td>HRWYAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dac</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>U19269</td>
<td>50,123</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Whether mutant alleles are known; + indicates alleles are known, - that they are not and P that there is at least one P-element allele (see Table 2).

2. The accession number of the most 5'-extended EST sequence is given. This normally corresponds to the longest cDNA. In the cases of wb and osp the accession numbers of two, non-overlapping, cDNAs are given. The number in parentheses indicates the number of independent cDNAs. The accession numbers of these other cDNAs can be found on FlyBase.

3. Complete cDNA sequences were from this study (see MATERIALS and METHODS, marked with an asterisk) or were from the work of others (pers. comm.), in which case see footnote 2 to TABLE 1. Clones marked LH were isolated by library screening, and thus do not have corresponding ESTs. They were sequenced by L. H. as part of this study.

4. gf = GENEFINDER; gs = GENSCAN; tscan = tRNAscan-SE; the numbers represent the scores of the GENEFINDER and GENSCAN prediction programs for the CDS regions. Sometimes there will be multiple scores for one gene, representing more than one scan or exon (for example if a gene crosses between two P1 clones); if so, only the largest of these is given here. A - indicates that the program did not predict the gene, at least not at or above the cutoff used here (a score of ≥20 for GENEFINDER and ≥45 for GENSCAN, see text). An exception is made for BG:DS09219.1 which spans two P1 clones: with independent GENSCAN predictions of 41 and 35.

5. A summary of the species to which there was a significant match (expect P = <e-7 or less). H = human; R = rodent (rat, mouse); D = Drosophila; W = C. elegans; A = A. thaliana; Y = S. cerevisiae; O = other. True Drosophila matches are excluded from this table. The BLASTP matches for dac are from searches with the complete protein (SPTREMBL:Q24027), since that on this contig is truncated. See text for further details of these matches and http://www.genetics.org/ and FlyBase for more complete information.
TABLE S3.

A classified summary of the inferred function of the products of 91 genes in the Adh region.

Inferences were made after an analysis of BLASTP sequence similarity searches and searches for protein motifs in the PROSITE and PFAM databases (see MATERIALS and METHODS). Functions known, or inferred, from work previous to this are indicated by enclosing the gene's symbol within curly braces. The classification of functions is taken from preliminary work by the Gene Ontology Consortium (GO 1999). The complete data on which this summary is based is to be found at http://www.genetics.org/?.

- basement membrane protein
  - laminin (α2 subunit): \{wb\}
- cyclin
  - G1/S-specific cyclin: \{CycE\}
- cytoskeletal protein
  - actin binding protein: BG:DS02740.9
  - cytoskeletal regulatory protein: Sop2
- electron transfer protein
  - cytochrome P450: BG:DS00180.11; l(2)35Fb
- enzyme
  - acylphosphatase: Acyp
  - adenosinetriphosphatase: BG:DS06874.3
  - alcohol dehydrogenase: \{Adh\}
  - aspartate 1-decarboxylase: b
  - carbonate dehydratase: BG:DS00941.1
  - double-stranded RNA specific editase: BG:DS00941.2
  - glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (mitochondrial): BG:DS08249.2
  - long-chain-fatty-acid-CoA-ligase: BG:DS01514.2; BG:DS05899.1
  - mRNA (guanine-N7)-methyltransferase: l(2)35Bd
  - polypeptide N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase: l(2)35Aa
  - protein disulfide isomerase: BG:DS09218.4
  - serine esterase: Gli
  - serine C-palmitoyltransferase: lace
  - short chain dehydrogenase: Adhr
  - ubiquitin--protein ligase: BG:DS01486.1
  - endopeptidase
    - metallopeptidase
      - membrane alanine aminopeptidase: BG:DS00365.1
      - metallopeptidase (M12, ADAM10 subfamily): \{kuz\}
      - metallopeptidase (M12A, astacin subfamily): BG:BACR44L22.1;
        BG:BACR44L22.2; BG:BACR44L22.3; BG:BACR44L22.4; BG:BACR44L22.6;
        BG:BACR44L22.8
      - peptidyl-dipeptidase A (M2 family): \{Ancc\}; BG:DS00180.5
      - serine-type peptidase: BG:DS06874.4; BG:DS06874.6;
        BG:DS07108.1; BG:DS07108.5; BG:DS07486.3; BG:DS01068.10
      - serine carboxypeptidase: BG:DS00365.3
  - DNA-directed DNA polymerase
mitochondrial (gamma) DNA-directed DNA polymerase
(accessory-subunit): MtPolB
mitochondrial (gamma) DNA-directed DNA polymerase
(catalytic-subunit): tamas
DNA-directed RNA polymerase
DNA-directed RNA polymerase II (RBP3-subunit): Rpl133
protein serine/threonine kinase
protein serine/threonine kinase: smi35A
receptor signalling protein serine/threonine kinase
MAP kinase: p38b
protein tyrosine phosphatase
protein tyrosine phosphatase: twe
prenylated protein tyrosine phosphatase: PRL-1
growth factor
imaginal disc growth factor: Idgf1; Idgf2; Idgf3
motor protein
myosin VIIA: ck
nucleic acid binding protein
RNA binding protein: BicC
ATP dependent RNA helicase: vas; l(2)35Df
DNA binding protein: cact
ATP dependent DNA helicase: BG:DS09217.6
origin recognition complex protein
origin recognition complex protein (subunit 5): Orc5
protamine: Mst35Ba; Mst35Bb
transcription factor: crp; dac; elB; esg; noc; sna; stc; Su(H); wor
general transcription factor associated protein: BG:DS00929.3
transcription elongation factor
transcription elongation factor TFIIS: TFIIS
DNA repair protein
DNA mismatch repair protein: spel1
receptor
G protein linked receptor: rk
GABA-A receptor: BG:DS00929.6
nicotinic acetylcholine receptor: BG:DS05899.4
receptor signalling protein
GTPase
RAB small GTPase: Rab14
small GTPase regulatory/interacting protein
small GTPase regulatory/interacting protein: BG:ACR48E02.4
guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor
ARF guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor: BG:DS00797.7
RAS guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor: Sos
ribosomal protein
mitochondrial ribosomal protein
large-subunit mitochondrial ribosomal protein (L4): l(2)35Fe
structural protein
mitochondrial outer membrane component: BG:DS06874.3
pupal cuticle protein: BG:DS06238.4
transporter
carrier type transporter
ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter: BG:DS00979.5
cation/amino-acid symporter
cation/amino-acid symporter: BG:DS03431.1
cation/sugar symporter
sodium/phosphate cotransporter: BG:DS07660.1
endosomal small-molecule carrier or transporter: BG:DS00979.1
channel type transporter
calcium channel
  calcium channel: BG:DS07473.1
  voltage-gated calcium channel (subunit): BG:DS07108.2
  voltage-gated calcium channel (alpha 1 subunit): \{Ca-a1D\}
sodium channel
  voltage-gated sodium channel: \{ppk\}
purinoreceptor-channel
  nicotinic acetylcholine receptor: BG:DS05899.4
intracellular transport protein
  syntaxin: Sed5
metal ion transporter: BG:DS07295.1
mitochondrial small-molecule carrier or transporter
  mitochondrial inner membrane translocase (subunit 17): Tim17
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